DOI:10.13925/j.cnki.gsxb.20220031

葡萄遗传图谱构建与抗病QTL定位研究进展

李 鹏,刘锐涛,谭西北,张 颖*,刘崇怀*

(中国农业科学院郑州果树研究所,郑州 450009)

摘 要:葡萄在生长发育过程中易遭受多种病原菌的侵染,影响果实品质和产量,制约葡萄产业的发展。生产中多采 用杀菌剂对病原菌进行防治,增加了投资成本,且会对环境造成污染,因此培育高品质抗病品种对葡萄生产具有重要 意义。葡萄抗病性为多基因控制的数量性状,QTL定位是研究数量性状的一种有效方法,遗传图谱的构建是检测 QTLs和克隆基因的基础。多年来,研究人员通过构建遗传图谱鉴定了一系列霜霉病、白粉病、皮尔斯病等抗性遗传位 点,同时,根据抗性位点的基因组区域,开发了多个连锁标记,并应用到葡萄抗病性遗传研究中,加速了葡萄的育种进 程。对葡萄遗传连锁图谱的构建和抗病相关QTL定位研究进展进行了综述,分析了目前研究中存在的问题并提出建 议,为今后葡萄抗病基因定位和分子标记辅助选择育种提供借鉴。

关键词:葡萄;遗传图谱;抗病;QTL定位

中图分类号:S663.1 文献标志码:A 文章编号:1009-9980(2023)06-1245-10

Research progress in genetic map construction and QTL mapping for disease resistance in grapevine

LI Peng, LIU Ruitao, TAN Xibei, ZHANG Ying*, LIU Chonghuai*

(Zhengzhou Fruit Research Institute, CAAS, Zhengzhou 450009, Henan, China)

Abstract: Grape is one of the most important horticultural crops in the world, which has been cultivated as a source of fresh fruit, raisins, wine and distilled beverages. In recent years, our country's grape production has increased rapidly, ranking first in the world. However, the grape is susceptible to infection by a variety of pathogens during the growth and development process, which affects berry quality and yield and restricts the development of the grape industry. Control of pathogenss requires regular application of fungicides. Nevertheless, the intensive use of chemicals becomes more and more restrictive because of their cost, risk on human health and negative environmental impact. Therefore, plant breeding for disease resistance is the most attractive way to control grapevine pathogens effectively and environment-friendly. The grape has the characteristics of long childhood and high heterozygosity and breeding for disease resistance is a very time-consuming process, because it needs the evaluation of resistance levels of the progeny. Molecular marker assistant selection (MAS) technology can improve the efficiency of directional breeding and accelerate the breeding process. Grape disease resistance is a quantitative trait controlled by multiple genes. QTL mapping is an effective method to study quantitative traits. The construction of a genetic map is the basis for detecting QTLs and cloning genes. Since Lodhi built the first genetic map of grapevines based on RAPD marker and AFLP marker, more than 160 grape genetic maps have been published in the world, of which 40 are related to grape disease resistance. Mapping populations and molecular markers are two key factors in constructing the genetic maps. The QTL mapping study is usually carried out in the crossed F_1 generation population, and a few

收稿日期:2022-01-24 接受日期:2022-12-23

基金项目:国家自然科学基金项目(31872057);郑州市重大科技创新专项(2020CXZX0082);中国农业科学院科技创新工程专项(CAAS-ASTIP-2021-ZFRI)

作者简介:李鹏,在读博士研究生,研究方向为果树种质资源。Tel:13838000285,E-mail:lip9310@163.com

^{*}通信作者 Author for correspondence. Tel:13525530042,E-mail:zhangying05@caas.cn;Tel:13703939601,E-mail:liuchonghuai@caas.cn

are self-crossed and backcrossed populations. The early grape genetic map was mainly constructed using AFLP and RAPD molecular markers, but different maps could not be compared, and the limitations were large. Subsequently, with the development of sequencing technology, because of its co-dominance and high polymorphism, simple sequence repeat (SSR) markers have high versatility among different grape varieties, and has become the most frequently used marker for constructing the disease resistance genetic maps. However, the limited number of SSR markers and the limited density and precision of genetic maps cannot effectively map candidate genes associated with traits. Single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) markers are evenly distributed in the genome and have a large number, which can avoid the problem of low map marker density and significantly improve the degree of fine mapping. In recent years, it has been widely used in the construction of genetic maps. Overall, the size of the mapping population in the grape genetic map study was more than 100, and the number and density of disease resistance genetic map markers continued to increase so that the average distance between markers decreased from 12.7 cM to 0.28 cM. Over the years, researchers have identified a series of disease resistance genetic loci. As a global fungal disease, grape downy mildew has the most researched resistance loci. Currently, 28 major or minor resistance loci have been located on chromosomes 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12, 14, 17 and 18, which explained phenotypic variation ranging from 3.5% to 86.3%. Grape powdery mildew is a fungal disease caused by the pathogenic fungus Erysiphe necator [synonym Uncinula necator (Schw.) Burr.], which can cause leaves to turn chlorotic and cover with a white powdery layer, resulting in leaf curling, wilting and other symptoms. Grape powdery mildew resistance loci are much more as downy mildew researches are conducted, named Run (Resistance to Uncinula necator) or Ren (Resistance to Erysiphe necator). The current powdery mildew resistance loci are 2 (Ren10), 9 (Ren6), 12 (Run1), 14 (Ren2, Ren5), 15 (Ren3, Ren9), 13 (Ren1), 18 (Run2.1, Run2. 2, Ren4, Ren8) and 19 (Ren7) chromosomes, which are identified, explained phenotypic variation ranging from 14% to 76%. Other grape diseases, such as Pierce's disease, crown gall disease, black rot, ripe rot, white rot, etc., also harm grapes, but there are relatively few studies on QTL mapping. These localization results have laid the foundation for the use of molecular markers in breeding. Based on the genomic region of the resistance loci, multiple linkage markers have been developed and applied to the genetic research on grape disease resistance and the grape breeding process has been accelerated. In recent years, the construction of grape disease resistance genetic map has developed rapidly, and some achievements have been obtained, but there are still problems. In this paper, we summarized the research progress in the construction of the genetic linkage map of grapes and the location of QTLs related to disease resistance. Meanwhile, the existing problems in the current research were also analyzed and discussed. This would provide an important reference for future grape disease resistance mapping and molecular marker-assisted selection breeding.

Key words: Grape; Genetic mapping; Disease resistance; Quantitative trait locus (QTL)

葡萄是多年生落叶藤本植物,果实风味浓郁,营养价值高,既可鲜食,也可加工成各种产品,在农业 经济中发挥着重要作用。据世界粮农组织(FAO, 2020)统计,中国葡萄产量达1476.9万t,居世界第 一位。葡萄生长过程中各种生物或非生物胁迫对产 量有着较大影响,由病原菌引起的生物胁迫是非常 严重的危害因子^[1]。目前葡萄生产上对病原菌治理 主要是药剂防治,不仅增加栽培成本,更危害环境及 食品安全^[2],培育抗病品种有利于葡萄产业健康发 展。葡萄具有童期长、杂合度高等特点,使用常规育 种耗时长、效率低,通过分子标记辅助选择育种 (molecular marker assistant selection, MAS)技术能 提高定向育种效率,加速育种进程^[3]。

构建遗传连锁图谱(genetic linkage map),进行

数量性状位点(quantitative trait locus,QTL)定位,建 立标记与表型之间的连锁关系,是实施分子标记辅 助育种的有效途径^[4]。自Lodhi等^[5]基于随机扩增多 态性 DNA 标记(random amplified polymorphic DNA,RAPD)和扩增片段长度多态性标记(amplified fragment length polymorphism,AFLP)构建了第 一张葡萄遗传图谱以来,世界上已经发布了160多 张葡萄遗传图谱^[6],其中约40张与葡萄抗病性有 关。以遗传连锁图谱为基础,研究人员已对多个葡 萄抗病相关位点进行定位,并开发了连锁标记,部分 已经应用于育种中^[7]。笔者在本文中对葡萄遗传图 谱构建与抗病QTL定位的研究进展进行综述,为葡 萄抗病性定位相关研究和分子标记辅助选择育种提 供借鉴和参考。

1 葡萄遗传图谱的构建

构建高密度遗传图谱是进行基因定位、分子标 记辅助育种,以及图位克隆的基础^[8]。构建遗传图 谱主要包括选择合适的作图群体、采用多态性分子 标记以及根据重组率利用相关作图软件计算分子标 记间的连锁排序和遗传距离。

作图群体的选择是构建遗传图谱的前提。目前 F_1 群体、 F_2 群体、BC 群体、DH 群体、RIL 群体(重组 自交系)等作图群体在QTL定位研究中均有使 用^[3,9]。但葡萄童期长、纯合与杂合位点共存、遗传 背景复杂等问题难以获得高世代群体。针对这一问 题,Weeden等^[10]提出了著名的"双假测交"理论,即 将Fi杂交群体视为与隐性亲本测交得到的回交一代 (BC₁)群体。当F₁群体出现分离重组,其分离重组 发生在亲本所特有遗传标记位点,则将其中一个亲 本的杂合位点看作是另一个亲本的隐性纯合,后代 群体1:1分离,则相当于"测交";若分离重组位于两 亲本共有的标记位点,则将两亲本都视为杂合位点, 如若是共显性标记,后代群体分离比为1:2:1,若是 显性标记后代,分离比则为3:1。基于此理论,葡萄 常用于构建遗传图谱的群体类型主要为杂交F₁代, 少数为回交群体、自交群体。葡萄Fi代杂交群体虽 易于构建,可以缩短定位群体建立周期,但该群体属 于暂时分离群体仅能使用一次,遗传背景复杂容易 造成定位偏差^[9]。

分子标记由于变异丰富、稳定、不受环境影响 等因素成为葡萄遗传图谱研究的有效手段。早期

葡萄遗传图谱主要采用RAPD和AFLP分子标记进 行构建。1995年Lodhi 等^[5]利用 Cayuga White × Aurore 的 F_1 群体,结合RAPD和AFLP等标记构建2张 遗传图谱,其中Cayuga White构成的遗传图谱包含 214个标记,全长为1196 cM; Aurore构成的遗传图 谱包含225个标记,全长为1477 cM。这两种标记 可以快速构建图谱,但构建的不同葡萄品种的遗传 图谱之间无法比较^四,局限性较大。随着测序技术 的发展,简单序列重复标记(simple sequence repeat,SSR)因共显性、高度多态性,在不同葡萄品种 间具有较高的通用性,成为构建遗传图谱使用频率 最高的标记。葡萄第一套SSR标记(共371个)由 葡萄微卫星联盟(Vitis Microsatellite Consortium, VMC) 开发^[11]。 Riaz 等^[12]利用 Riesling × Cabernet Sauvignon杂交群体结合152个SSR标记(主要来自 VMC)构建了第一张葡萄 SSR 遗传图谱,该图谱总 长为1728 cM,标记间平均距离为11.0 cM。Di Gaspero 等^[13]开发了另外两组基于微卫星的标记 (169 个 VVI 和 108 个 UDV)。 Adam-Blondon 等^[14] 以 Syrah × Grenache 的 F₁ 群体为材料,利用 220 个 SSR标记(包含123个VVI标记)构建了总长度为 1 406.1 cM、标记平均距离为 6.4 cM 的遗传图谱。 为了提高SSR标记构建图谱的饱和度、通用性和实 用性, Doligez 等^[15]将5个遗传群体整合得到一张含 515个标记(502个SSR标记)的遗传图谱,该图谱 总长度为1647 cM,标记间平均距离为3 cM。此图 谱是基于 SSR 标记最为完整的图谱之一。但 SSR 标记数量有限10,遗传图谱密度和精度受限,无法 对性状相关的候选基因进行有效定位^[11]。伴随着 测序技术的发展,单核苷酸多态性(single nucleotide polymorphisms, SNP)标记越来越多地被应用 在遗传图谱构建上。相较之前的标记,SNP在基因 组均匀分布,多态性好,可以避免图谱标记密度偏 小的问题,显著提高精细定位程度^[6,17]。全基因组 测序(whole genome sequencing)、简化基因组测序 (reduced-representation genome sequencing, RRGS) 和 SNP-array 是开发 SNP 标记的主要高通量测序技 术。Sapkota 等^[1]用 Norton × Cabernet Sauvignon 的 159个F₁单株为作图群体,结合1665个SNP标记和 407个SSR标记获得抗霜霉病遗传图谱。Sun等[18]利 用 Red Globe × Muscat Hamburg 的 95 个 F₁ 群体,结 合 27 454 个 SNP标记,构成覆盖长度为1 442.64 cM

的遗传图谱。Su 等^[19]利用 Zhuosexiang × Victoria 的 F₁代群体,采用 6249 个 SNP 构建的抗白腐病整合 图谱大小为3 118.13 cM,平均标记密度是 0.5 cM。 最近,一种基于扩增子测序(AmpSeq)的新型高效 分子标记应用于遗传图谱的构建。Karn 等^[20]利用 Horizon × Illinois 547-1 的 F₁群体,构建一张包含 1171 个 Ampseq标记、覆盖总长度为1 082.16 cM 的 图谱。Reshef 等^[21]利用 *V. rupestris* B38 × Horizon 的杂交群体,构建一张包含1944个Ampseq标记、总 长度范围为1050.7 cM的遗传图谱。葡萄主要图谱 的基本情况见表1。从表1可以看出,国内外对葡萄 遗传图谱研究中作图群体数量大多在100株以上; 标记类型从最初的AFLP标记到大规模测序开发 SNP标记,不断向前发展;遗传图谱标记数目和密度 不断增加,使得标记之间的平均距离从12.7 cM降 至0.28 cM。

亲本 Parents	作图群体 Mapping population	群体大小 Population size	图谱长度 Mapping distance/cM	标记类型 Marker types	标记数 Marker numbers	平均距离 Average distance/cM	参考文献 Reference
Syrah×28-8-78	BC2	139	-	RAPD、ISSR、SSR	372	-	[22]
MTP2223-27 × MTP2121-30	\mathbf{F}_1	139	233.00	AFLPSSR	1204	5.60	[23]
MTP2687-85×Muscat of Hamburg	\mathbf{F}_1	174	119.00	SSR	1267	12.70	[24]
D8909-15×F8909-17	\mathbf{F}_1	87	1154.00	SSR、RFLP	210	5.50	[25]
Regent×Lemberger	\mathbf{F}_1	144	1631.00	AFLP\SSR\RGA\SCAR	398	4.67	[26]
CabernetSauvign×Gloire de Montpellier	\mathbf{F}_1	138	1249.00	SSR\SSCP	212	6.70	[3]
Italia × Big Perlon	\mathbf{F}_1	163	1426.00	SSR\AFLP\EST\SCAR	341	4.20	[27]
Moscato Bianco×W63	\mathbf{F}_1	174	1 037.20	SSR\EST\RGA	164	7.30	[28]
V3125 × Börner	\mathbf{F}_1	202	1 364.90	SSR	374	3.90	[29]
Ramsey × Riparia Gloire	\mathbf{F}_1	188	1 304.70	SSR	205	6.80	[30]
VRH 3082 1-42×SK77 5/3	\mathbf{F}_1	94	651.00	SSR\EST\RGA\SNP	133	6.00	[28]
Merzling×Teroldego	\mathbf{F}_1	89	1 309.20	SSR\SNP	247	5.40	[31]
Ruprecht×Ruprecht	\mathbf{F}_1	232	975.00	SSR、RGA	133	7.30	[32]
Gf.Ga-52-42×Solar	\mathbf{F}_1	265	1 097.28	SSR	208	6.27	[33]
GF.V3125×BOERNER	\mathbf{F}_1	202	-	SSR	_	_	[34]
F2-35×DVIT202	\mathbf{F}_1	277	1005.42	SSR	208	4.83	[35]
GF.GA-47-42×Villard blanc	\mathbf{F}_1	151	1 324.10	SSR\SNP	543	2.71	[36]
Muscat of Alexandria×Muscat à Petits Grains Blancs	\mathbf{F}_1	95	1 257.40	SSR	338	4.60	[37]
Norton×Cabernet Sauvignon	\mathbf{F}_1	159	2 203.50	SSR\SNP	2072	1.10	[1]
Black Beauty×Nesbitt	\mathbf{F}_1	172	1 633.60	SNP	1224	1.30	[38]
Cabernet sauvignon × Zuoyouhong	\mathbf{F}_1	181	1 780.48	SNP	25 917	0.41	[39]
Cabernet Sauvignon×Shuang Hong	\mathbf{F}_1	91	1 665.31	SNP	934	1.81	[40]
Red Globe×Shuangyou	\mathbf{F}_1	149	1 929.13	SNP、INDEL	7199	0.28	[41]
Red Globe× Muscat Hamburg	\mathbf{F}_1	95	1 442.64	SNP	27 454	0.93	[18]
Cabernet Sauvignon×Shuang Hong	\mathbf{F}_1	91	1 898.09	SNP	5603	0.45	[42]
Zhuosexiang×Victoria	\mathbf{F}_1	177	3 118.13	SNP	6294	0.43	[19]
PI588160×PI58827	\mathbf{F}_1	294	1 634.10	SNP	2583	0.63	[43]
Horizon × Illinois 547-1	\mathbf{F}_1	142	1 082.16	rhAmpSeq	1171	1.01	[20]
B38 × Horizon	\mathbf{F}_1	118	1 050.70	rhAmpSeq	1944	1.10	[21]

表 1 葡萄主要遗传连锁图谱 Table 1 Main genetic linkage maps of grape

注:"-"表示该文献无此内容。下同。

Note: "-" means no relevant content is shown in this reference. The same below.

构建遗传图谱需将分子标记分配到不同的连锁 群,每个连锁群内根据重组率对标记进行排序并估 计遗传距离。目前常用的构图软件有 JoinMap、 Mapmaker和TMAP等^[6-44]。但同一作图软件不会包含所有分离类型,不同软件构建的遗传图谱也存在差异,应根据实际情况进行选择。

2 抗病性状QTL定位

2.1 葡萄霜霉病抗性QTL定位

葡萄霜霉病作为全球性真菌性病害,抗性位点 研究最多。Merdinoglu等^[22]利用Syrah×28-8-78的F₁ 分离群体进行抗霜霉病QTL分析,首次在28-8-78的 12号染色体上鉴定到一个主效 QTLRpv1 (Resistance to Plasmopara viticola 1),该位点能够解释73% 的表型变异,与其紧密连锁的标记为VVIb32。来源 于圆叶葡萄的Rpv1 抗性基因已被克隆并进行了功 能验证。Rpv1是核苷酸结合/富含亮氨酸重复(nucleotide binding/leucine-rich repeat, NB-LRR)受体, 参与病原菌的识别和植物防御的信号转导[45-49]。 Welter 等^[26]利用 Regent ×Lemberge 的 F₁代群体通过4 年霜霉病抗性鉴定,最终在18号染色体中得到1个 主效QTLRpv3,该位点解释了37.3%表型差异,富含 TIR-NBS-LRR 基因,并在不同群体的研究中得到进 一步验证[22,47]。含有 Rpv3 位点的葡萄植株叶片在受 到霜霉病侵染时,霜霉病菌丝体生长受限,新生孢子

囊和孢子囊数量减少[48-49]。Marguerit等[3]通过Cabernet Sauvignon × Gloire De Montpellie 的 F1子代霜霉 病抗性鉴定,发现在9和12号染色体存在2个抗性位 点 Rpv5 和 Rpv6, 其中 Rpv5 紧密连锁的标记 VVIO52; Rpv6 是第二个定位在12 号染色体上抗性 位点。Bellin等[47]使用 Chardonnay × Bianca 群体通 过多种霜霉病表型鉴定方法,发现Bianca的7号染 色体上存在抗性位点Rpv7,该位点解释的表型变异 率为12.7%。Blasi等^[32]使用雌雄同株山葡萄品种 (V. amurensis 'Ruprecht')自交后代,通过5种抗性评 价体系,在14号染色体上发现抗性位点Rpv8,该位 点解释的表型变异率高达86.3%。Schwander等[33]将 Rpv10定位在9号连锁群,并将其范围精确定位到 2.1 cM,大小为314 kb。研究还发现该区域包含8个 核苷酸结合/富含亮氨酸重复(nucleotide binding/leucine-rich repeat,NBS-LRR)类型的RGA。随后越来 越多的抗霜霉病位点被挖掘,目前,在4、5、6、7、8、9、 10、12、14、17和18号染色体上共定位到28个抗性主 效或微效QTL(表2),解释的表型变异率从3.5%到

表 2 霜霉病抗性位点

Table 2 Downy influew resistance site									
位点	亲本	染色体	位置	紧密连锁标记	表型变异率	参考文献			
Locus	Parents	Chromosome	Position/Mb	Associated marker	Phenotypic variance/%	Reference			
Rpv1	Syrah×28-8-78	12	10.3	VVIb32	73.00	[22]			
Rpv2	Cabernet Sauvignon ×8624	18	-	_	21.00	[32]			
Rpv3	Chardonnay×Bianca	18	-	UDV112	37.30	[26]			
Rpv4	Regent×Lemberger	4	4.7	VMC7H3	22.60	[26]			
Rpv5	Cabernet Sauvignon × Gloire De Montpellie	9	4.0	VVIO52	34.40	[3]			
Rpv6	Cabernet Sauvignon × Gloire De Montpellie	12	20.4	VMC8G9	31.50	[3]			
Rpv7	Chardonnay × Bianca	7	11.4	UDV097	12.70	[47]			
Rpv8	Ruprecht×Ruprecht	14	6.6	Chr14V015	86.30	[32]			
Rpv9	Moscato Bianco×W63	7	16.6	IN0006	21.10	[26]			
Rpv10	GF.GA-52-42×Solaris	9	3.7	GF09-46	50.00	[33]			
Rpv11	Regent ×Lemberger	5	4.5	VCHR05C	3.50	[33]			
Rpv12	99-1-48 × Pinot Noir	14	8.0	UDV014	78.70	[50]			
Rpv13	Moscato Bianco×W63	12	10.0	VMC1G3.2	21.20	[28]			
Rpv14	GF.V3125 ×Boerner	5	20.2	GF05-13	17.40	[51]			
Rpv17	B38×Horizon	8	11.7	-	12.94	[52]			
Rpv18	B38×Horizon	11	15.4	-	8.77	[52]			
Rpv19	B38×Horizon	14	29.5	_	15.14	[52]			
Rpv20	Horizon×B9	6	0.9	_	8.37	[52]			
Rpv21	Horizon×B9	7	2.1	-	11.77	[52]			
Rpv25	RedGlobe×Shuangyou	15	3.0	Marker561 375	18.60	[41]			
Rpv26	RedGlobe×Shuangyou	15	15.0	Marker525 926	63.60	[41]			
Rpv27	Norton×Cabernet Sauvignon	18	26.0	UDV737	33.80	[1]			
Rpv28	PI588160×PI58827	10	_	S10_1 285 522	66.50	[43]			

86.3%。这些QTL抗性位点来源于不同的葡萄品种。Rpv1和Rpv2来源于圆叶葡萄(Muscadinia rotundifolia); Rpv3、Rpv19和Rpv28来源于沙地葡萄 (V. rupestris); Rpv4、Rpv7、Rpv11、Rpv17、Rpv18、 Rpv20和Rpv21来源于北美种群但未确定品种; Rpv5、Rpv6、Rpv9和Rpv13来源于河岸葡萄(V. riparia); Rpv8、Rpv10、Rpv12、Rpv22、Rpv23、Rpv24、 Rpv25和Rpv26来源于山葡萄(V. amurensis); Rpv14 来源于甜冬葡萄(V. cinerea); Rpv27来源于夏葡萄 (V. aestivalis)。这表明利用优异的种质资源去挖掘更 丰富的优异变异,是寻找葡萄抗病基因的重要途径。

2.2 葡萄白粉病抗性QTL定位

葡萄白粉病是由致病菌 Erysiphe necator [synonym Uncinula necator (Schw.) Burr.]引起的真菌性 病害,可引起叶片褪绿,覆盖白色粉层进而导致叶片 卷曲、枯萎等症状。同葡萄霜霉病一样,葡萄白粉病 抗性位点较多(表3),命名为Run(Resistance to Uncinula necator)或Ren(Resistance to Erysiphe necator)。Barker等^[59]首次将抗白粉病Run1定位在圆叶 葡萄的12号染色体上,而欧亚种不携带该基因。目

位点	亲本	染色体	位置	紧密连锁标记	表型变异率	参考文献
Locus	Parents	Chromosome	Position/Mb	Associated marker	Phenotypic variance/%	Reference
Ren1	Nimrang×Kishmish Vatkana	13	18.4	VMC9h4-2	-	[53]
Ren2	Horizon×Illinois 547-1	14	26.9	CS25	76.0	[54]
Ren3	Regent×Red Globe	15	4.9	VChr15CenGen02	43.9	[16]
Ren4	C166-043×F8909-08	18	26.9	VMC7f2	70.0	[55]
Ren5	Regale×Regale	14	4.8	VMC9c1	58.0	[56]
Ren6	F2-35×V. Piasezkii (Dvit2027)	9	8.6	PN9-057	62.0	[35]
Ren7	F2-35×V. Piasezkii (Dvit2027)	19	0.2	VVIp17.1	18.1	[35]
Ren8	GF.GA-47-42×Villard Blanc	16	13.2	UDV117	14.0	[36]
Ren9	Regent×Lemberger	15	1.4	CenGen6	46.2	[57]
Ren10	MN1264×MN1214	2	17.9	S2_17 854 965	13.3	[58]
Run1	VRH3082-1-42×Cabernet Sauvignon	12	13.1	VMC4f3.1	-	[59]
Run2.1	A90-71×Flame Seedless	18	26.9	VMC7f2	52.8	[55]
Run2.2	e2-9×Malaga Rosada	18	26.9	VMC7f2	50.0	[55]

表 3 白粉病抗性位点 Table 3 Downy mildew resistance site

前以圆叶葡萄和欧亚种葡萄的BCs为材料,已经获 得与Run1基因连锁的分子标记^[60]。Hoffmann等^[53] 将Ren1抗性位点定位于Nimrang的LG13上的 VMC9H4-2、VMCNG4E10-1和UDV-020附近,该区 域包含多个NBS-LRR基因。在中国野生群体利用 中,Pap等^[35]在中国野生葡萄变叶葡萄V. piasezkii (Dvit2027)中发现了2个抗白粉病的QTL位点Ren6 和Ren7,分别位于第9和第19染色体上。目前白粉 病抗性位点在2(Ren10)、9(Ren6)、12(Run1)、13 (Ren1)、14(Ren2,Ren5)、15(Ren3,Ren9)、18 (Run2.1、Run2.2、Ren4、Ren8)、19(Ren7)号染色体上 鉴定出来(表3)解释的表型变异率从14%到76%。

2.3 其他病害抗性QTL定位

其他葡萄病害,如皮尔斯病、冠瘿病、黑腐病、炭 疽病、白腐病等,对葡萄也有较大危害,但QTL定位 研究相对较少。在抗皮尔斯病的QTL研究中, Krivanek等^[61]首先将抗皮尔斯病Pdr1(Pierces disease resistance 1)定位于14号染色体上;随后Riaz 等^[60]通过精细定位将抗皮尔斯病的主效QTL定位到 14号染色体 VVIn64 和 UDV095 标记附近。在抗冠 瘿病 QTL 定位方面, Kuczmog 等^[63]将 Rcg1 (Resistance to Crown gall 1)定位在抗冠瘿病亲本Kunbarát (V. amurensis)的15号染色体上,紧密连锁标记 9M3-3距Rcg1位点576kb远。关于黑腐病QTL研 究较少, Rex 等¹³⁴将黑腐病2个抗性位点 Rgb1(Resistance to Guignardia bidwellii 1)和 Rgb2 分别定位 在14号和16号染色体上。近年来,部分科技人员对 葡萄抗炭疽病进行QTL定位,高晓铭等[64]利用里扎 马特×黑珍珠杂交Fi代群体,在12号染色体检测到 解释表型变异率37.07%、贡献率为71.50%的抗炭疽 病主效 QTL。Fu 等^[40]对 Cabernet Sauvignon × Shuang Hong杂交群体进行了连续3年抗炭疽病鉴定, 在14号染色体发现抗性位点Rgr1(Resistance to Colletotrichum 1), 此区间包含了11个NBS-LRR基 因。2021年,Su等^[19]利用 Zhuosexiang × Victoria杂 交群体在14号染色体上定位到一个抗葡萄白腐病 QTL,并预测了7个可能抗白腐病的候选基因。这些 定位结果为分子标记在育种中的使用奠定了基础。

3 问题及展望

构建遗传图谱和开发目标性状紧密连锁的分子 标记,对MAS育种效率的提升具有重要意义。近年 来,葡萄遗传图谱的构建发展较快,获得一些成果, 但仍存在问题。许多葡萄遗传图谱使用 RFLP、 AFLP、RAPD、SSR等第一代和第二代标记构建,导 致图谱标记密度较低,各连锁群标记间距较大,标记 在基因组分布不均匀,无法有效定位候选基因。随 着测序技术的发展,SNP标记已经用来构建高密度 遗传图谱,提高了图谱的饱和度并显著提高精细定 位程度。但SNP在不同种群间通用性较低¹⁶,在将 来应考虑构建多种标记整合的图谱,提高图谱的饱 和性与通用性。分子标记辅助选择是将分子标记应 用于葡萄品种改良过程中进行选择的一种辅助手 段,主要包括对目标性状的前景选择和对遗传背景 的背景选择。目前葡萄分子标记辅助育种仍以SSR 标记为主,但SSR分子标记通性低、费时,而SNP标 记适合高通量、自动化检测,可能成为未来首选的标 记系统¹⁰。然而 SNP 分子标记在葡萄 MAS 中的实 际应用仍然较少^[37,65],因此应加大对性状关联 SNP 标记的转化和应用。

葡萄抗病性作为一个复杂的数量性状,受到较 多基因的调控,且基因之间还存在着互作效应,遗传 机制非常复杂。虽然已有许多针对抗病性状的 QTL定位研究,但由于抗病性状往往受到多个QTL 控制,且影响群体QTL检测的因素很多,如环境因 素、群体大小及分子标记连锁图谱的饱和度等^[6]。 另外葡萄定位常使用群体数量较小的初级作图群体 F₁,容易造成定位误差。因此,将来在研究中应构建 群体数量较大的F₂、BC(回交群体)等群体,消除遗传 背景对QTL定位产生的不利影响^[6],提高QTL检测 灵敏度和定位精度。随后通过这些位点解析抗病性 状的遗传和分子机制,挖掘葡萄抗病优良基因,为葡 萄抗病育种奠定基础。另外葡萄抗病定位研究主要 集中在霜霉病和白粉病,而对我国危害较大的灰霉 病和黑痘病尚未见报道,应加大对这些病害的解析。

葡萄多个图谱以及病害抗性QTL位点已经发

表,但这些实验数据(基因型、表型)和位点信息未储 存在公共数据库,极大限制了图谱的整合以及定位 信息的有效利用。作为模式作物水稻,其表型和图 谱信息以及QTL相关数据可以在Gramene数据库 进行检索,促进了QTL位点利用和候选基因的挖 掘^[60]。因此未来应构建可以共享的葡萄的QTL定位 数据库,以提高QTL数据的利用与挖掘。

参考文献 References:

- [1] SAPKOTA S, CHEN L L, YANG S S, HYMA K E, CADLE-DAVIDSON L, HWANG C F. Construction of a high-density linkage map and QTL detection of downy mildew resistance in *Vitis aestivalis*-derived 'Norton' [J]. Theoretical and Applied Genetics, 2019, 132(1): 137-147.
- [2] BRUN L A, LE CORFF J, MAILLET J. Effects of elevated soil copper on phenology, growth and reproduction of five ruderal plant species[J]. Environmental Pollution, 2003, 122(3): 361-368.
- [3] MARGUERIT E, BOURY C, MANICKI A, DONNART M, BUTTERLIN G, NÉMORIN A, WIEDEMANN-MERDINOG-LU S, MERDINOGLU D, OLLAT N, DECROOCQ S. Genetic dissection of sex determinism, inflorescence morphology and downy mildew resistance in grapevine[J]. Theoretical and Applied Genetics, 2009, 118(7): 1261-1278.
- [4] COLLARD B C Y, JAHUFER M Z Z, BROUWER J B, PANG E C K. An introduction to markers, quantitative trait loci (QTL) mapping and marker-assisted selection for crop improvement: The basic concepts[J]. Euphytica, 2005, 142(1/2):169-196.
- [5] LODHI M A, YE G N, WEEDEN N F, REISCH B I, DALY M
 J. A molecular marker based linkage map of *Vitis*[J]. Genome, 1995, 38(4): 786-794.
- [6] VEZZULLI S, DOLIGEZ A, BELLIN D. Molecular mapping of grapevine genes[M]//CANTU D, WALKER M A. The Grape Genome. Cham, Switzerland: Springer, 2019:103-136.
- [7] VEZZULLII S, MALACARNE G, MASUERO D, VECCHI-ONE A, DOLZANI C, GOREMYKIN V, VELASCO R, ME-HARI Z H, BANCHI E, VELASCO R, STEFANINI M, VRHOVSEK U, ZULINI L, FRANCESCHI P, MOSER C. The Rpv3-3 haplotype and stilbenoid induction mediate downy mildew resistance in a grapevine interspecific population[J]. Frontiers in Plant Science, 2019, 10: 234.
- [8] MYLES S, CHIA J M, HURWITZ B, SIMON C, ZHONG G Y, BUCKLER E, WARE D. Rapid genomic characterization of the genus *Vitis*[J]. PLoS One, 2010, 5(1): e8219.
- [9] 蒋洪蔚,刘春燕,高运来,李灿东,张闻博,胡国华,陈庆山.作物QTL定位常用作图群体[J].生物技术通报,2008,24(S1):
 12-17.

JIANG Hongwei, LIU Chunyan, GAO Yunlai, LI Candong, ZHANG Wenbo, HU Guohua, CHEN Qingshan. Common crop

mapping population of QTL mapping[J]. Biotechnology Bulletin,2008,24(S1):12-17.

- [10] WEEDEN N F, HEMMATT M, LAWSON D M, LODHI M, BELL R L, MANGANARIS A G, REISCHS B I, BROWN S K, YE G N. Development and application of molecular marker linkage maps in woody fruit crops[J]. Euphytica, 1994, 77(1/2): 71-75.
- [11] ROUBELAKIS-ANGELAKIS K A. Grapevine molecular physiology & biotechnology[M]. Dordrecht: Springer, 2009: 535-563.
- [12] RIAZ S, DANGL G S, EDWARDS K J, MEREDITH C P. A microsatellite marker based framework linkage map of *Vitis vinifera* L.[J]. Theoretical and Applied Genetics, 2004, 108(5): 864-872.
- [13] DI GASPERO G, CIPRIANI G, MARRAZZO M T, AN-DREETTA D, CASTRO M J P, PETERLUNGER E, TESTO-LIN R. Isolation of (AC) *n*-microsatellites in *Vitis vinifera* L. and analysis of genetic background in grapevines under marker assisted selection[J]. Molecular Breeding, 2005, 15(1):11-20.
- [14] ADAM-BLONDON A F, ROUX C, CLAUX D, BUTTERLIN G, MERDINOGLU D, THIS P. Mapping 245 SSR markers on the *Vitis vinifera* genome: A tool for grape genetics[J]. Theoretical and Applied Genetics, 2004, 109(5):1017-1027.
- [15] DOLIGEZ A, ADAM-BLONDON A F, CIPRIANI G, DI GAS-PERO G, LAUCOU V, MERDINOGLU D, MEREDITH C P, RIAZ S, ROUX C, THIS P. An integrated SSR map of grapevine based on five mapping populations[J]. Theoretical and Applied Genetics, 2006, 113(3): 369-382.
- [16] VAN HEERDEN C J, BURGER P, VERMEULEN A, PRINS
 R. Detection of downy and powdery mildew resistance QTL in
 a 'Regent' × 'Red Globe' population[J]. Euphytica, 2014, 200
 (2):281-295.
- [17] WANG N, FANG L C, XIN H P, WANG L J, LI S H. Construction of a high-density genetic map for grape using next generation restriction-site associated DNA sequencing[J]. BMC Plant Biology, 2012, 12(1): 148.
- [18] SUN L, LI S C, JIANG J F, TANG X P, FAN X C, ZHANG Y, LIU J H, LIU C H. New quantitative trait locus (QTLs) and candidate genes associated with the grape berry color trait identified based on a high-density genetic map[J]. BMC Plant Biology, 2020, 20(1): 302.
- [19] SU K,GUO Y S,ZHONG W H,LIN H,LIU Z D,LI K,LI Y Y, GUO X W. High-density genetic linkage map construction and white rot resistance quantitative trait loci mapping for genus Vitis based on restriction site-associated DNA sequencing[J]. Phytopathology,2021,111(4):659-670.
- [20] KARN A, DIAZ-GARCIA L, RESHEF N, ZOU C, MANNS D C, CADLE-DAVIDSON L, MANSFIELD A K, REISCH B I, SACKS G L. The genetic basis of anthocyanin acylation in North American grapes (*Vitis* spp.) [J]. Genes, 2021, 12(12): 1962.

- [21] RESHEF N, KARN A, MANNS D C, MANSFIELD A K, CA-DLE-DAVIDSON L, REISCH B, SACKS G L. Stable QTL for malate levels in ripe fruit and their transferability across *Vitis* species[J]. Horticulture Research, 2022, 9: uhac009.
- [22] MERDINOGLU D, WIEDEMAN-MERDINOGLU S, COSTE P, DUMAS V, HAETTY S, BUTTERLIN G, GREIF C. Genetic analysis of downy mildew resistance derived from *Muscadinia rotundifolia*[J]. Acta Horticulturae, 2003(603):451-456.
- [23] DOLIGEZ A, BERTRAND Y, DIAS S, GROLIER M, BALLES-TER J F, BOUQUET A, THIS P. QTLs for fertility in table grape (*Vitis vinifera* L.)[J]. Tree Genetics & Genomes, 2010, 6 (3):413-422.
- [24] DOLIGEZ A, AUDIOT E, BAUMES R, THIS P. QTLs for muscat flavor and monoterpenic odorant content in grapevine (*Vitis vinifera* L.)[J]. Molecular Breeding, 2006, 18(2):109-125.
- [25] RIAZ S, KRIVANEK A F, XU K, WALKER M A. Refined mapping of the Pierce's disease resistance locus, PdR1, and sex on an extended genetic map of *Vitis rupestris*× *V. arizonica*[J]. Theoretical and Applied Genetics, 2006, 113(7):1317-1329.
- [26] WELTER L J,GÖKTÜRK-BAYDAR N,AKKURT M,MAUL E, EIBACH R, TÖPFER R, ZYPRIAN E M. Genetic mapping and localization of quantitative trait loci affecting fungal disease resistance and leaf morphology in grapevine (*Vitis vinifera* L.)[J]. Molecular Breeding, 2007, 20(4):359-374.
- [27] COSTANTINI L, BATTILANA J, LAMAJ F, FANIZZA G, GRANDO M.S. Berry and phenology-related traits in grapevine (*Vitis vinifera* L.): From quantitative trait loci to underlying genes[J]. BMC Plant Biology, 2008, 8(1):38.
- [28] MOREIRA F M, MADINI A, MARINO R, ZULINI L, STEFA-NINI M, VELASCO R, KOZMA P, GRANDO M S. Genetic linkage maps of two interspecific grape crosses (*Vitis* spp.) used to localize quantitative trait loci for downy mildew resistance[J]. Tree Genetics & Genomes, 2011, 7(1):153-167.
- [29] FECHTER I, HAUSMANN L, ZYPRIAN E, DAUM M, HOLT-GRÄWE D, WEISSHAAR B, TÖPFER R. QTL analysis of flowering time and ripening traits suggests an impact of a genomic region on linkage group 1 in *Vitis*[J]. Theoretical and Applied Genetics, 2014, 127(9):1857-1872.
- [30] LOWE K M, WALKER M A. Genetic linkage map of the interspecific grape rootstock cross Ramsey (*Vitis champinii*)× Riparia Gloire (*Vitis riparia*) [J]. Theoretical and Applied Genetics, 2006,112(8):1582-1592.
- [31] SALMASO M, MALACARNE G, TROGGIO M, FAES G, STEFANINI M, GRANDO M S, VELASCO R. A grapevine (Vitis vinifera L.) genetic map integrating the position of 139 expressed genes[J]. Theoretical and Applied Genetics, 2008, 116 (8):1129-1143.
- [32] BLASI P, BLANC S, WIEDEMANN-MERDINOGLU S, PRA-DO E, RÜHL E H, MESTRE P, MERDINOGLU D. Construction of a reference linkage map of *Vitis amurensis* and genetic

mapping of Rpv8, a locus conferring resistance to grapevine downy mildew[J]. Theoretical and Applied Genetics, 2011, 123 (1):43-53.

- [33] SCHWANDER F, EIBACH R, FECHTER I, HAUSMANN L, ZYPRIAN E, TÖPFER R. Rpv10: A new locus from the Asian *Vitis* gene pool for pyramiding downy mildew resistance loci in grapevine[J]. Theoretical and Applied Genetics, 2012, 124(1): 163-176.
- [34] REX F, FECHTER I, HAUSMANN L, TÖPFER R. QTL mapping of black rot (*Guignardia bidwellii*) resistance in the grapevine rootstock 'Börner' (*V. riparia* Gm183 × *V. cinerea* Arnold) [J]. Theoretical And Applied Genetics, 2014, 127(7): 1667-1677.
- [35] PAP D, RIAZ S, DRY I B, JERMAKOW A, TENSCHER A C, CANTU D, OLÁH R, WALKER M A. Identification of two novel powdery mildew resistance loci, Ren6 and Ren7, from the wild Chinese grape species *Vitis piasezkii*[J]. BMC Plant Biology, 2016, 16(1):170.
- [36] ZYPRIAN E, OCHBNER I, SCHWANDER F, ŠIMON S, HAUSMANN L, BONOW- REX M, MORENO- SANZ P, GRANDO M S, WIEDEMANN- MERDINOGLU S, MERDI-NOGLU D, EIBACH R, TÖPFER R. Quantitative trait loci affecting pathogen resistance and ripening of grapevines[J]. Molecular Genetics and Genomics, 2016, 291(4):1573-1594.
- [37] KONO A, BAN Y, MITANI N, FUJII H, SATO S, SUZAKI K, AZUMA A, ONOUE N, SATO A. Development of SSR markers linked to QTL reducing leaf hair density and grapevine downy mildew resistance in *Vitis vinifera*[J]. Molecular Breeding, 2018,38(11):138.
- [38] LEWTER J, WORTHINGTON M L, CLARK J R, VARANASI A V, NELSON L, OWENS C L, CONNER P, GUNAWAN G. High-density linkage maps and loci for berry color and flower sex in muscadine grape (*Vitis rotundifolia*) [J]. Theoretical and Applied Genetics, 2019, 132(5):1571-1585.
- [39] SU K, XING H Y, GUO Y S, ZHAO F Y, LIU Z D, LI K, LI Y Y, GUO X W. High-density genetic linkage map construction and cane cold hardiness QTL mapping for *Vitis* based on restriction site-associated DNA sequencing[J]. BMC Genomics, 2020, 21(1):419.
- [40] FU P N, TIAN Q Y, LAI G T, LI R F, SONG S R, LU J A. Cgr1, a ripe rot resistance QTL in *Vitis amurensis* 'Shuang Hong' grapevine[J]. Horticulture Research, 2019, 6(1):67.
- [41] LIN H, LENG H, GUO Y S, KONDO S, ZHAO Y H, SHI G L, GUO X W. QTLs and candidate genes for downy mildew resistance conferred by interspecific grape (*V. vinifera* L.× *V. amuren*sis Rupr.) crossing[J]. Scientia Horticulturae, 2019, 244: 200-207.
- [42] FU P N, WU W, LAI G T, LI R F, PENG Y C, YANG B H, WANG B, YIN L, QU J J, SONG S R, LU J. Identifying Plasmopara viticola resistance loci in grapevine (*Vitis amurensis*)

via genotyping- by- sequencing- based QTL mapping[J]. Plant Physiology and Biochemistry, 2020, 154:75-84.

- [43] BHATTARAI G, FENNELL A, LONDO J P, COLEMAN C, KOVACS L G. A novel grape downy mildew resistance locus from *Vitis rupestris*[J]. American Journal of Enology and Viticulture, 2021, 72(1):12-20.
- [44] LANDER E S, GREEN P, ABRAHAMSON J, BARLOW A, DALY M J, LINCOLN S E, NEWBURG L. MAPMAKER: An interactive computer package for constructing primary genetic linkage maps of experimental and natural populations[J]. Genomics, 1987, 1(2): 174-181.
- [45] FEECHAN A, ANDERSON C, TORREGROSA L, JERMAK-OW A, MESTRE P, WIEDEMANN- MERDINOGLU S, MERDINOGLU D, WALKER A R, CADLE- DAVIDSON L, REISCH B, AUBOURG S, BENTAHAR N, SHRESTHA B, BOUQUET A, ADAM-BLONDON A F, THOMAS M R, DRY I B. Genetic dissection of a TIR-NB-LRR locus from the wild North American grapevine species *Muscadinia rotundifolia* identifies paralogous genes conferring resistance to major fungal and oomycete pathogens in cultivated grapevine[J]. The Plant Journal, 2013, 76(4): 661-674.
- [46] WILLIAMS S J, YIN L, FOLEY G, CASEY L W, OUTRAM M A, ERICSSON D J, LU J A, BODEN M, DRY I B, KOBE B. Structure and function of the TIR domain from the grape NLR protein RPV1[J]. Frontiers in Plant Science, 2016, 7:1850.
- [47] BELLIN D, PERESSOTTI E, MERDINOGLU D, WIEDE-MANN-MERDINOGLU S, ADAM-BLONDON A F, CIPRI-ANI G, MORGANTE M, TESTOLIN R, DI GASPERO G. Resistance to *Plasmopara viticola* in grapevine 'Bianca' is controlled by a major dominant gene causing localised necrosis at the infection site[J]. Theoretical and Applied Genetics, 2009, 120 (1):163-176.
- [48] DI GASPERO G, COPETTI D, COLEMAN C, CASTELLAR-IN S D, EIBACH R, KOZMA P, LACOMBE T, GAMBETTA G, ZVYAGIN A, CINDRIĆ P, KOVÁCS L, MORGANTE M, TESTOLIN R. Selective sweep at the Rpv3 locus during grapevine breeding for downy mildew resistance[J]. Theoretical and Applied Genetics, 2012, 124(2): 277-286.
- [49] CASAGRANDE K, FALGINELLA L, CASTELLARIN S D, TESTOLIN R, DI GASPERO G. Defence responses in Rpv3-dependent resistance to grapevine downy mildew[J]. Planta, 2011, 234(6):1097-1109.
- [50] VENUTI S, COPETTI D, FORIA S, FALGINELLA L, HOFF-MANN S, BELLIN D, CINDRIĆ P, KOZMA P, SCALABRIN S, MORGANTE M, TESTOLIN R, DI GASPERO G. Historical introgression of the downy mildew resistance gene Rpv12 from the Asian species *Vitis amurensis* into grapevine varieties[J]. PLoS One, 2013, 8(4):e61228.
- [51] OCHBNER I, HAUSMANN L, TÖPFER R. Rpv14, a new genetic source for *Plasmopara viticola* resistance conferred by *Vitis*

cinerea[J]. Vitis, 2016, 55(2): 79-81.

- [52] DIVILOV K, BARBA P, CADLE-DAVIDSON L, REISCH B I. Single and multiple phenotype QTL analyses of downy mildew resistance in interspecific grapevines[J]. Theoretical and Applied Genetics, 2018, 131(5): 1133-1143.
- [53] HOFFMANN S, DI GASPERO G, KOVÁCS L, HOWARD S, KISS E, GALBÁCS Z, TESTOLIN R, KOZMA P. Resistance to Erysiphe necator in the grapevine 'Kishmish Vatkana' is controlled by a single locus through restriction of hyphal growth[J]. Theoretical and Applied Genetics, 2008, 116(3):427-438.
- [54] DALBÓ M A, YE G N, WEEDEN N F, WILCOX W F, REISCH B I. Marker-assisted selection for powdery mildew resistance in grapes[J]. Journal of the American Society for Horticultural Science, 2001, 126(1): 83-89.
- [55] RIAZ S, TENSCHER A C, RAMMING D W, WALKER M A. Using a limited mapping strategy to identify major QTLs for resistance to grapevine powdery mildew (*Erysiphe necator*) and their use in marker-assisted breeding[J]. Theoretical and Applied Genetics, 2011, 122(6):1059-1073.
- [56] BLANC S, WIEDEMANN- MERDINOGLU S, DUMAS V, MESTRE P, MERDINOGLU D. A reference genetic map of *Muscadinia rotundifolia* and identification of Ren5, a new major locus for resistance to grapevine powdery mildew[J]. Theoretical and Applied Genetics, 2012, 125(8):1663-1675.
- [57] ZENDLER D, SCHNEIDER P, TÖPFER R, ZYPRIAN E. Fine mapping of Ren3 reveals two loci mediating hypersensitive response against *Erysiphe necator* in grapevine[J]. Euphytica, 2017,213(3):68.
- [58] TEH S L, FRESNEDO- RAMÍREZ J, CLARK M D, GADOURY D M, SUN Q, CADLE-DAVIDSON L, LUBY J J. Genetic dissection of powdery mildew resistance in interspecific half-sib grapevine families using SNP-based maps[J]. Molecular Breeding, 2017, 37(1): 1.
- [59] BARKER C L, DONALD T, PAUQUET J, RATNAPARKHE M B, BOUQUET A, ADAM-BLONDON A F, THOMAS M R, DRY I. Genetic and physical mapping of the grapevine powdery mildew resistance gene, Run1, using a bacterial artificial chro-

mosome library[J]. Theoretical and Applied Genetics, 2005, 111 (2): 370-377.

- [60] DONALD T M, PELLERONE F, ADAM- BLONDON A F, BOUQUET A, THOMAS M R, DRY I B. Identification of resistance gene analogs linked to a powdery mildew resistance locus in grapevine[J]. Theoretical and Applied Genetics, 2002, 104(4): 610-618.
- [61] KRIVANEK A F, RIAZ S, WALKER M A. Identification and molecular mapping of PdR1, a primary resistance gene to Pierce's disease in *Vitis*[J]. Theoretical and Applied Genetics, 2006,112(6):1125-1131.
- [62] RIAZ S, TENSCHER A C, RUBIN J, GRAZIANI R, PAO S S, WALKER M A. Fine-scale genetic mapping of two Pierce's disease resistance loci and a major segregation distortion region on chromosome 14 of grape[J]. Theoretical and Applied Genetics, 2008,117(5):671-681.
- [63] KUCZMOG A, GALAMBOS A, HORVÁTH S, MÁTAI A, KOZMA P, SZEGEDI E, PUTNOKY P. Mapping of crown gall resistance locus Rcg1 in grapevine[J]. Theoretical and Applied Genetics, 2012, 125(7): 1565-1574.
- [64] 高晓铭,刘崇怀,张国海,张颖,姜建福,孙海生,樊秀彩.葡萄抗炭疽病 QTL 的初步定位分析[J]. 园艺学报,2016,43(12):
 2442-2450.
 GAO Xiaoming, LIU Chonghuai, ZHANG Guohai, ZHANG

Ying, JIANG Jianfu, SUN Haisheng, FAN Xiucai. Preliminary analysis of grape anthracnose resistance QTL[J]. Acta Horticulturae Sinica, 2016, 43(12): 2442-2450.

- [65] BARBA P, CADLE-DAVIDSON L, HARRIMAN J, GLAUB-ITZ J C, BROOKS S, HYMA K, REISCH B. Grapevine powdery mildew resistance and susceptibility loci identified on a high-resolution SNP map[J]. Theoretical and Applied Genetics, 2014,127(1):73-84.
- [66] NI J J, PUJAR A, YOUENS-CLARK K, YAP I, JAISWAL P, TECLE I, TUNG C W, REN L Y, SPOONER W, WEI X H, AVRAHAM S, WARE D, STEIN L, MCCOUCH S. Gramene QTL database: Development, content and applications[J]. Database, 2009, 2009: bap005.