DOI:10.13925/j.cnki.gsxb.20200590

沃柑果皮响应柑橘锈螨危害的转录组分析

田 帅,邱发发,葛聪聪,黄桂香*,王国全*

(广西农业环境与农产品安全重点实验室•植物科学国家级实验教学示范中心•广西大学农学院,南宁 530004)

摘 要:【目的】揭示柑橘抗柑橘锈螨危害的分子机制,筛选出在柑橘锈螨危害条件下的相关应答基因。【方法】研究以接种柑橘锈螨后危害3、5、7、9 d的沃柑果实为试材,健康果实为对照(CK),采用Novaseq6000平台进行转录组双向测序,并对数据进行生物信息学相关性分析。【结果】共获得了55.63 Gb clean bases,去除低质量碱基和接头序列后,CK3、CK5、CK7和CK9获得Clean reads分别是42115939、45501683、58118008和40715511条,WG3d、WG5d、WG7d和WG9d获得Clean reads分别是46807089、49185798、44437798和44035730条。柑橘锈螨危害3、5、7、9 d 后引起上调差异表达基因数量分别为2764、1812、3217、2778个,下调差异表达基因数量分别为3036、1387、3350、2347个。GO功能富集分析结果显示,差异表达基因在不同的柑橘锈螨危害时间段,主要集中在生物学过程、细胞组分和分子功能中。KEGG注释分析结果显示,差异表达基因主要参与二苯乙烯、二芳基庚烷和姜辣素的生物合成、核糖体、磷酸戊糖、光合作用、碳代谢等途径。【结论】植物激素信号转导、植物病原互作通路、类黄酮生物合成、苯丙氨酸代谢、戊糖磷酸代谢5条通路在沃柑响应柑橘锈螨胁迫过程中起到了重要的调控作用。 关键词:沃柑;柑橘锈螨;转录组;差异表达基因

中图分类号: S666 S436.661 文献标志码: A 文章编号: 1009-9980(2021)09-1569-10

Transcriptome analysis of citrus rustmite damage to the peel of Orah fruits

TIAN Shuai, QIU Fafa, GE Congcong, HUANG Guixiang*, WANG Guoquan*

(Guangxi Key Laboratory of Agric-Environment and Agric-Products Safety and National Demonstration Center for Experimental Plant Science Education/Agricultural College, Guangxi University, Nanning 530004, Guangxi, China)

Abstract: [Objective] In order to reveal the molecular mechanism of citrus rust mite damage to citrus fruits, the related response genes were screened, and the varieties resistant to citrus rust mite were improved by using the related resistance gene resources, so as to breed new varieties with stronger resistance to citrus rust mite. **[**Methods] Sixty citrus rust mites were inoculated on the same size fruits without diseases and insect pests in this experiment. During the experiment, no other diseases and insect pests were found. Three, five, seven and nine days after inoculation, three replicates of each sample were taken. 5 g peel with control (CK) and citrus rust mites groups (WG) were rinsed with sterile water, wrapped with tin foil, and treated with liquid nitrogen before they were put in the refrigerator at -80 °C for standby. The two-way transcriptome sequencing was performed on novaseq6000 platform, and the bioinformatics correlation analysis was carried out. **[**Results**]** A total of 55.63 gb clean bases were obtained. After removing low-quality bases and linker sequences, 42 115 939, 45 501 683, 58 118 008 and 40 715 511 clean reads were obtained from CK3, CK5, CK7 and CK9, and 46 807 089, 49 185 798, 44 437 798 and 44 035 730 clean reads were obtained from WG3d, WG5d, WG7d and WG9d, respectively. The number of up-regulated differentially expressed genes was 2764, 1812, 3217 and 2778 in treatment 3, 5, 7, 9 d, and the number of down regulated differentially expressed genes was 3036,

收稿日期:2021-01-11 接受日期:2021-05-14

基金项目:国家重点研发计划支持项目(2018YFD0201500);广西科技重大专项(桂科AA17204026);广西现代农业产业技术体系柑橘创新团队(nycytxgxcxtd-05-08)

作者简介:田帅,在读硕士研究生,研究方向:农业昆虫与害虫防治。Tel:18877168415,E-mail:879540710@qq.com

^{*}通信作者 Author for correspondence. Tel:13707818803,E-mail:hguixiang@163.com;Tel:13978854846,E-mail:wangguoquan0@163.com

1387, 3350 and 2347 respectively. The results of GO enrichment analysis showed that the DEGs of 3 d were mainly enriched in the process of organic nitrogen metabolism, biological process, metabolic process and redox; the cellular components were enriched in ribosome, ribonucleoprotein complex and molecular complex; the molecular functions were mainly enriched in the structural composition, structural molecular activity and oxidoreductase of ribosome. In addition, the catalytic activity and other functions were also found. The DEGs of 5 d were mainly enriched in the redox process, monomer metabolism process, biological process, metabolic process and other processes in the biological process; the molecular functions were mainly enriched in heme binding, tetrapyrrole binding, iron ion binding, oxidoreductase activity, electron carrier activity, catalytic activity and so on. The DEGs of 7 d were mainly enriched in the metabolic process, biological process, redox process, organic nitrogen compounds metabolic process, organic nitrogen compounds biosynthesis process and so on; the cell components were enriched in the cytoplasmic part, ribonucleoprotein complex, ribosome, polymer complex, cytoplasm and so on; the molecular functions were mainly enriched in the catalytic activity, oxidation activity, reductase activity and so on. The DEGs of 9 d were mainly enriched in the biological process, metabolic process and single organism metabolic process, and the molecular function was mainly enriched in the activity of oxidoreductase and catalytic activity. KEGG annotation analysis showed that the DEGs of 3 d were mainly annotated to vitamin B6 metabolism, biosynthesis of stilbene, diarylheptane and gingerol, photosynthesis, phenylpropanoid biosynthesis, pentose phosphate and other pathways. The DEGs of 5 d were mainly enriched in vitamin B6 metabolism, biosynthesis of stilbene, diarylheptane and gingerol, selenium complex metabolism, photosynthesis antennae, photosynthesis, phenylalanine biosynthesis, phenylalanine, tyrosine and tryptophan biosynthesis. The DEGs of 7 d were mainly enriched in the biosynthesis of stilbene, diarylheptane and gingerol, ribosome, endoplasmic reticulum protein processing, proteolytic enzyme complex, porphyrin and chlorophyll metabolism, photosynthesis antennae, photosynthesis, phenylpropanoid biosynthesis, pentose phosphate pathway, oxidative phosphorylation and other pathways. The DEGs of 9 d were mainly enriched in the biosynthesis of stilbene, diarylheptane and gingerol, photosynthesis antennae, photosynthesis, phenylalanine biosynthesis, phenylalanine, tyrosine and tryptophan biosynthesis pathways. [Conclusion] High throughput transcriptome sequencing technology was used to sequence and analyze Orah fruits damaged by citrus rust mite, and multiple differentially expressed genes were screened, which were involved in plant hormone signal transduction, plant pathogen interaction pathway, flavonoid biosynthesis, phenylalanine metabolism, pentose phosphate and other pathways in different damage periods. The research on the molecular mechanism of Orah responding to the damage of citrus rust mite can provide not only abundant gene resources, but also a theoretical basis for the research on the gene regulatory network of Citrus germplasm resources under the mite damage conditions. Key words: Orah; Citrus rust mite; Transcriptome; Differentially expressed genes

柑橘皱叶刺瘿螨[Phyllocoptruta oleivora (Ashmead)]隶属于蜱螨亚纲瘿螨科,是世界性害螨,我国 柑橘园区多有分布^[1-3]。柑橘锈螨通过将自身口器刺 入柑橘果皮中吸取营养物质来维持自身生长发育, 从而导致果皮细胞受损破裂,溢出的油脂被空气氧 化成褐色或深黑色,最终形成黑皮果,致使树势衰弱 和果实商品价值严重降低^[4-10]。柑橘锈螨个体微小、 繁殖周期短、传播途径多样化,果园管理容易忽视, 极易暴发成灾^[11-14]。 沃柑(Orah)系以色列选育的丹西红橘和坦普尔 橘橙杂交品种^[15-16],由中国农业科学院柑桔研究所在 2004年从韩国引入国内,具有产量高、品质好的特 点^[17-18],适宜作为特色杂柑良种进行大面积推 广^[19-20]。沃柑是我国近年来发展速度最快的柑橘品 种,广西为主产区并大力打造沃柑地域品牌^[21],但其 果实极易受到柑橘锈螨危害,产生黑皮果,严重影响 沃柑商品价值和生产效益。

近年来,转录组测序已经成为研究植物功能基

因组的重要手段,从分子水平上探究虫害所诱导的 植物防御反应中涉及的识别机制、基因表达、信号通 路、危害虫治理和抗虫植物培育提供了新的思 路[22-24]。Artico等[25]研究棉花蕾受棉铃象虫侵染时转 录组数据的变化情况,发现443个差异表达基因,通 过对酶通路和植物激素信号转导途径的转录变化研 究,为目标基因的筛选和遗传工程的数据库建立提 供支持。植物遭受虫害胁迫后,体内氧化酶系、病程 相关蛋白和苯丙烷类代谢途径、激素分子等物质在 应对胁迫的防御过程中发挥了关键作用[26-32]。邢雪 霞[3]通过对南方根结线虫侵染不同抗性的烟草进行 转录组测序,研究表明289个差异基因富集在细胞 壁修饰、激素信号转导和转录因子等通路上,为抗虫 基因筛选和烟草抗线虫的分子机制研究提供了一定 的基础。Dubey等[34]分析粉虱和蚜虫胁迫下毛金丝 桃的转录组数据时发现,植物抗性相关的标记基因 过表达,差异表达基因大部分与水解酶、激酶、生物 胁迫等表达途径有关。植物体受害虫胁迫会激活一 系列的分子信号途径,启动相关下游基因表达来调 控胁迫响应,以降低自身伤害程度,而从分子水平上 探究虫害所诱导的植物防御反应中涉及的识别机 制、基因表达和信号通路,为害虫治理和抗虫植物的 培育提供了新的途径[35-38]。因此,通过挖掘抗柑橘锈 螨的相关基因,阐明其在应答柑橘锈螨危害过程中 的分子调控机制,并利用相关抗性基因资源进行沃 柑抗柑橘锈螨品种改良,对培育出抗虫性更强的沃 柑新品种具有十分重要的意义。

1 材料和方法

1.1 材料

试验材料为沃柑,由广西大学农学院提供。选 取树势一致、果实直径约4 cm、健康无病虫害的青 果,按照60头/果实的虫口密度,用带微钩的昆虫针 将柑橘锈螨成螨轻轻接种至沃柑果实上(WG),以 未接种柑橘锈螨的健康沃柑果实作为空白对照组 (CK),3次重复,每次重复4颗沃柑。在果柄处涂抹 凡士林避免柑橘锈螨爬出实验果实,实验期间确保 材料无其他病虫危害,生长温度控制在28℃,湿度 调节在60%。接螨3、5、7、9 d进行采样,分别取对照 组(CK)和柑橘锈螨危害组(WG)的果皮,使用无菌 水进行冲洗,再用吸水纸吸干水分,锡纸包裹果皮放 入液氮壶中迅速转运至-80℃储存。

1.2 RNA提取、文库构建及测序

采用南宁壹棵松生物科技有限公司生产的植物 RNA提取试剂盒和反转录试剂盒,严格按照使用说 明书进行 RNA 的提取和反转录,文库的构建和测序 工作由北京诺禾致源生物信息科技有限公司处理完 成,使用 Novaseq6000 为该样品的测序平台。

1.3 测序数据的过滤与组装

将通过测序平台Novaseq6000、使用某个碱基 错误识别的概率为0.001的标准得到的Raw reads进 行质量分析,去除带接头的(adapter)的reads,去除 无法确定碱基信息且比例大于10%的reads,去除 $Q_{phred} \leq 20$ 的碱基数占整个read长度的50%以上的 低质量reads。利用Trinity软件对测序数据进行de novo拼接组装,得到最终的单基因簇。

1.4 差异表达基因筛选

采用 DEGseq2 软件进行基因表达水平分析。 具体参数设置如下: $|\log_2(FoldChange)| > -1, P$ value < 0.005。

1.5 差异表达基因GO富集分析

通过 GOseq 软件对差异基因进行 GO 富集分析,获得分布于 GO 功能组的差异基因,设定阈值为 FDR ≤ 0.05。

1.6 差异表达基因 KEGG 富集分析

KEGG 富集分析所用的软件是 KOBAS, Rich factor 和 Q value 的大小以及富集到这个通路上基因 个数的多少能够用来衡量 KEGG 的富集程度的大 小。其中 Rich factor 表示的含义为该 pathway 中富 集得到的差异表达基因的个数(Sample number)与 注释 到该 pathway 中所有基因个数(Background number)的比值。因此, Rich factor 值越大,则表示 该 pathway 的富集程度越大。参数设置: Corrected P-Value < 0.05。

2 结果与分析

2.1 转录组数据质量分析

通过对接种柑橘锈螨后3、5、7、9d后的样品进 行转录组测序,每个样品3个重复,所得数据取均值 得表1。从错误率以及碱基含量等方面对原始测序 数据进行了质量分析,共获得了55.63 Gb clean bases,其中CK3、CK5、CK7和CK9获得 Raw Reads分 别是42 820 929、46 412 337、59 316 621 和 41 563 010条,去除低质量碱基和接头序列后获得

表 1 柑橘锈螨个同危害时段处理的转录组测序数据质量分析								
Table 1 Qua	ality analysis of tra	anscriptome s	equencing da	ta treated with	different da	mage time peri	ods of citrus r	usting mite
样本 Sample	处理时间 Treatment time/d	原始读取 Raw reads	过滤后数据 Clean reads	比对率 Contrast ratio/%	错误率 Error rate/%	Q20含量 Q20 content/%	Q30含量 Q30 content/%	GC含量 GC content%
对照 CK	3	42 820 929	42 115 939	92.13	0.03	97.82	93.35	44.37
	5	46 412 337	45 501 683	92.00	0.03	98.14	94.07	43.96
	7	59 316 621	58 118 008	91.97	0.03	97.83	93.36	44.10
	9	41 563 010	40 715 511	92.82	0.03	98.23	94.41	44.21
处理 Treatment	3	47 916 087	46 80 7089	92.11	0.03	98.08	93.92	44.08
	5	50 286 368	49 185 798	92.47	0.03	97.96	93.65	43.99
	7	45 630 198	44 43 7798	92.42	0.03	98.13	94.06	44.19
	9	44 988 691	44 035 730	92.73	0.03	98.05	93.84	44.21

Clean reads 分别是 42 115 939、45 501 683、 58 118 008 和 40 715 511 条。WG3d、WG5d、WG7d 和 WG9d 获得 Raw Reads 分别是 47 916 087、 50 286 368、45 630 198 和 44 988 691 条, Clean reads 分别是 46 807 089、49 185 798、44 437 798 和 44 035 730条。各样品 GC 含量约为 44%, Q20、Q30 分别达到97%和93%以上,碱基错误率为0.03%,均 低于0.05%,且Clean reads与甜橙基因组的比对率 约为92%,说明转录组测序质量符合要求,所得数据 可以用于后续分析。

通过将对照组与柑橘锈螨危害组的转录组数据

9

2.2 差异表达基因数量分析

进行统计分析,火山图显示了差异基因的整体分布 情况。柑橘锈螨危害3d后统计结果显示(图1-A), DEGs 总数达到 5800 个,其中表达水平上调和下降 的DEGs数量分别为2764、3036个。柑橘锈螨危害 5d后统计结果显示(图1-B), DEGs总数达到3199 个,其中表达水平上调和下降的DEGs数量分别为 1812、1387个。柑橘锈螨危害7d后统计结果显示 (图1-C), DEGs总数达到6567个, 其中表达水平上 调和下降的DEGs数量分别为3217、3350个。柑橘 锈螨危害9d后统计结果显示(图1-D), DEGs总数 达到5125个,其中表达水平上调和下降的DEGs数 量分别为2778、2347个。



A. 柑橘锈螨危害 3d; B. 柑橘锈螨危害 5d; C. 柑橘锈螨危害 7d; D. 柑橘锈螨危害 9d。下同。

A. After 3 d of citrus rust mite damage; D. After 5 d of citrus rust mite damage; C. After 7 d of citrus rust mite damage; D. After 9 d of citrus rust mite damage. The same below

> 图 1 差异基因火山图 Fig. 1 Volcano map of differential genes

2.3 差异表达基因韦恩图

对 read count 进行标准化之后,筛选 DEGs 的标 准为p < 0.05,对各组差异基因进行韦恩图分析,可 知共有 1181 个 DEGs 在柑橘锈螨危害后 3、5、7、9 d 时均有显著差异(图2)。



图 2 差异表达基因维恩图



2.4 差异表达基因 GO 功能富集分析

筛选出DEGs后,对其进行GO功能富集分析。 生物学过程、细胞组分和分子功能富集情况能够通 过GO富集柱状图直观地表现出来。柑橘锈螨危害 3d后的GO功能富集分析结果显示(图3-A), DEGs 主要富集到生物学过程中的有机氮化合物代谢过 程、生物学进程、代谢过程、氧化还原等过程中;细胞 组分富集在核糖体、核糖核蛋白复合体、分子复合物 等上;分子功能主要富集在核糖体的结构组成、结构 分子活性、氧化还原酶活性、催化活性等功能上。柑 橘锈螨危害5d后的GO功能富集分析结果显示(图 3-B), DEGs 主要富集到生物学过程中的氧化还原 过程、单体代谢过程、生物学过程、代谢过程等过程 中;分子功能主要富集在血红素结合、四吡咯结合、 铁离子结合、氧化还原酶活性、电子载流子活性、催 化活性等上。柑橘锈螨危害7d后的GO功能富集 分析结果显示(图3-C), DEGs主要富集到生物学过 程中的代谢过程、生物学过程、氧化还原过程、有机 氮化合物代谢过程、有机氮化合物生物合成过程等 过程中;细胞组分富集在细胞质部分、核糖核蛋白复 合体、核糖体、高分子复合物、细胞质等上;分子功能 主要富集在催化活性、氧化还原酶活性等上。柑橘 锈螨危害9d后的GO功能富集分析结果显示(图3-D), DEGs主要富集到生物学过程中的生物学过程、 代谢过程、单个有机体代谢过程等过程中;分子功能 主要富集在氧化还原酶活性、催化活性等上。

2.5 差异表达基因 KEGG 注释分析

通过KEGG功能富集分析可以判断DEGs 主要 参与的代谢和转导途径,有助于对基因的功能和表 达信息进行系统的研究。柑橘锈螨危害3d后的 KEGG 富集分析结果显示(图4-A), DEGs 主要注释 到维生素B6代谢、二苯乙烯、二芳基庚烷和姜辣素 的生物合成、光合作用、苯丙素生物合成、戊糖磷酸 等通路中。柑橘锈螨危害5d后的KEGG注释分析 结果显示(图4-B), DEGs主要富集到维生素B6代 谢、二苯乙烯、二芳基庚烷和姜辣素的生物合成、硒 复合代谢、光合作用-触角蛋白、光合作用、苯丙素生 物合成、苯丙氨酸、酪氨酸和色氨酸的生物合成等 通路中。柑橘锈螨危害7d后的KEGG注释分析结 果显示(图4-C), DEGs主要富集到二苯乙烯、二芳 基庚烷和姜辣素的生物合成、核糖体、内质网蛋白质 加工、蛋白水解酶复合体、卟啉与叶绿素代谢、光合 作用-触角蛋白、光合作用、苯丙素生物合成、磷酸戊 糖途径、氧化磷酸化等通路中。柑橘锈螨危害9d后 的KEGG注释分析结果显示(图4-D), DEGs主要富 集到二苯乙烯、二芳基庚烷和姜辣素的生物合成、光 合作用-触角蛋白、光合作用、苯丙素生物合成、苯丙 氨酸、酪氨酸和色氨酸的生物合成等通路中。

2.6 差异表达基因的功能鉴定

通过 KEGG 通路的进一步分析,发现 DEGs 主 要涉及5个与抗虫相关的通路,分别是植物激素信 号传导途径、植物病原互作通路、类黄酮合成途径、 苯丙氨酸代谢途径、戊糖磷酸途径。

2.6.1 植物激素信号转导途径差异基因分析 通过 对植物激素信号传导途径中的DEGs进一步分析, 茉莉酸Zim结构域蛋白(JAZ)编码的4条基因(XM_ 006474637.3、XM_006465821.2、XM_006474477.3、 XM_006465820.2)在柑橘锈螨危害3、5、7、9d时均 显著上调,诱导植物激素相关的基因表达;水杨酸调 节因子(NPR1)在危害后期被激活,其中7d和9d分 别编码了2、3条基因,除两个时段共同的基因(XR_ 371294.3)显著上调外,其余基因下调,可推断其在 危害后期参与了沃柑的抗虫响应。

2.6.2 植物病原互作通路差异基因分析 通过对植物病原互作通路中的DEGs进一步分析,氧化酶同源酶(RBOH)编码的2条基因(XM_006472017.3、XM_006484987.3)在柑橘锈螨危害3、5、7、9d时均显著上调,基因(XM_006476418.3)从5d开始显著

第38卷



A -1. Organonitrogen compound metabolic process; 2. Organonitrogen compound biosynthetic process; 3. Amide biosynthetic process; 4. Metabolic process; 5. Oxidation-reduction process; 6. Peptide biosynthetic process; 7. Translation; 8. Peptide metabolic process; 9. Cellular amide metabolic process; 10. Cellular amide metabolic process; 11. Nucleoside metabolic process; 12. Glycosyl compound metabolic process; 13. Purine nucleoside metabolic process; 14. Purine ribonucleoside metabolic process; 15. Organic substance catabolic process; 16. Catabolic process; 17. Purinecontaining compound metabolic process; 18. Ribosome; 19. Ibonucleoprotein complex; 20. Cytoplasmic part; 21. Macromolecular complex; 22 Non-membrane-bounded organelle; 23. Intracellular non-membrane-bounded organelle; 24. Cytoplasm; 25. Structural constituent of ribosome; 26. Structural molecule activity; 28. Catalytic activity; 29. Electron carrier activity; 30. Iron ion binding. B-1. Oxidation-reduction process; 2. Single-organism metabolic process; 3. Single-organism process; 4. Biological_process; 5. Metabolic process; 6. Single-organism catabolic process; 7. Organonitrogen compound catabolic process; 8. Nucleoside metabolic process; 9. Glycosyl compound metabolic process; 10. Carbohydrate catabolic process; 11. Single-organism carbohydrate catabolic process; 12. Chlorophyll catabolic process; 13. Pigment catabolic process; 14. Heme binding; 15. Tetrapyrrole binding; 16. Iron ion binding; 17. Oxidoreductase activity; 18. Oxidoreductase activity, acting on paired donors, with incorporation or reduction of molecular oxygen; 19. Electron carrier activity; 20. Catalytic activity; 21.Oxidoreductase activity, acting on single donors with incorporation of molecular oxygen; 22. Ammonia-lyase activity; 23. Linoleate 13S-lipoxygenase activity; 24. Carboxylic ester hydrolase activity; 25. Enzyme inhibitor activity; 26. Transferase activity, transferring hexosyl groups; 27. Oxidoreductase activity, acting on single donors with incorporation of molecular oxygen, incorporation of two atoms of oxygen; 28. Lyase activity; 29. Transferase activity, transferring glycosyl groups; 30. Chlorophyllase activity. C-1. Oxidation-reduction process; 2. Organonitrogen compound metabolic process; 3. Organonitrogen compound biosynthetic process; 4. Biological process; 5. Amide biosynthetic process; 6. Translation; 7. Peptide met abolic process; 8. Peptide biosynthetic process; 9. Cellular amide metabolic process; 10. Metabolic process; 11. Purine nucleoside metabolic process; 12. Purine ribonucleoside metabolic process; 13. Singleorganism metabolic process; 14. Purine-containing compound metabolic process; 15. Purine nucleoside triphosphate metabolic process; 16. Ribonucleoside triphosphate metabolic process; 17. Cytoplasmic part; 18. Ribonucleoprotein complex; 19. Ribosome; 20. Macromolecular complex; 21. Cy-toplasm; 22. Oxidoreductase activity; 23. Structural constituent of ribosome; 24. Structural molecule activity; 25. Iron ion binding; 26. Oxidoreductase activity, acting on paired donors, with incorporation or reduction of molecular oxygen; 27. Electron carrier activity; 28. Tetrapyrrole binding; 29. Heme binding; 30. Catalytic activity. D-1. 1. Oxidation-reduction process; 2. Biological_process; 3. Metabolic process; 4. Single-organism metabolic process; 5. Single-organism process; 6. Organonitrogen compound metabolic process; 7. Organonitrogen compound biosynthetic process; 8. Purine nucleoside metabolic process; 9. Purine ribonucleoside metabolic process; 10. Nucleoside metabolic process; 11. Glycosyl compound metabolic process; 12. Photosynthesis, light harvesting; 13. Purine-containing compound metabolic process; 14. Ribonucleoside metabolic process; 15. Cellular amino acid biosynthetic process; 16. Alpha-amino acid biosynthetic process; 17. Cell wall organization or biogenesis; 18. Purine nucleoside biosynthetic process; 19. Oxidoreductase activity; 20. Heme binding; 21. tetrapyrrole binding; 22. Catalytic activity; 23. Oxidoreductase activity, acting on paired donors, with incorporation or reduction of molecular oxygen; 24. Electron carrier activity; 25. Iron ion binding; 26. Oxidoreductase ac-tivity, acting on single donors with incorporation of molecular oxygen; 27.Cofactor binding; 28.Ammonia-lyase activity; 29. linoleate 13S-lipoxygenase activity; 30. Oxidoreductase activity, acting on single donors with incorporation of molecular oxygen, incorporation of two atoms of oxygen.

> 图 3 差异基因 GO 富集柱状图 Fig. 3 Histogram of GO enrichment of differentially expressed genes



圆点所在的位置和形状大小分别代表着富集的条目和差异基因的数量。

The location and size of the dots represent the number of enriched entries and the number of different genes, respectively. A-1. Vitamin B6 metabolism; 2. Stilbenoid, diarylheptanoid and gingerol biosynthesis; 3. Ribosome; 4. Proteasome; 5. Photosynthesis-antenna proteins; 6. Photosynthesis 7. Phenylpropanoid biosynthesis; 8. Pentose phosphate pathway; 9. Glyoxylate and dicarboxylate metabolism; 10. Glycolysis/Gluconeogenesis; 11. Glycine, serine and threonine metabolism; 12. Galactose metabolism; 13. Fructose and mannose metabolism; 14. Flavonoid biosynthesis; 15. Carbon metabolism; 16. Carbon fixation in photosynthetic organisms; 17. Biosynthesis of secondary metabolites; 18. Biosynthesis of amino acids; 19. Alpha-Linolenic acid metabolism; 20. Alanine, aspartate and glutamate metabolism. B-1. Vitamin B6 metabolism; 2. Stilbenoid, diarylheptanoid and gingerol biosynthesis3. Selenocompound metabolism; 4. Photosynthesis - antenna proteins; 5. Photosynthesis; 6. Phenylpropanoid biosynthesis; 7. Phenylalanine, tyrosine and tryptophan biosynthesis; 8. Phenylalanine metabolism; 9. Pentose phosphate pathway; 10. Monoterpenoid biosynthesis; 11. Metabolic pathways; 12. Linoleic acid metabolism; 13. Glycine, serine and threonine metabolism; 14. Flavonoid biosynthesis; 15. Cysteine and methionine metabolism; 16. Carbon metabolism; 17. Carbon fixation in photosynthetic organisms; 18. Biosynthesis of secondary metabolites; 19. Biosynthesis of amino acids; 20. Alpha-Linolenic acid metabolism; C-1. 1. Stilbenoid, diarylheptanoid and gingerol biosynthesis; 2. Ribosome; 3. Protein processing in endoplasmic reticulum; 4. Proteasome; 5. Porphyrin and chlorophyll metabolism; 6. Photosynthesis - antenna proteins; 7. Photosynthesis; 8. Phenylpropanoid biosynthesis; 9. Pentose phosphate pathway; 10. Oxidative phosphorylation; 11. One carbon pool by folate; 12. Glycolysis / Gluconeogenesis; 13. Glycine, serine and threonine metabolism; 14. Galactose metabolism; 15. Fructose and mannose metabolism; 16. Carbon metabolism; 17. Carbon fixation in photosynthetic organisms; 18. Biosynthesis of secondary metabolites; 19. Amino sugar and nucleotide sugar metabolism; 20. Alpha-Linolenic acid metabolism. D-1. 1. Stilbenoid, diarylheptanoid and gingerol biosynthesis; 2. Photosynthesis - antenna proteins; 3. Photosynthesis; 4. Phenylpropanoid biosynthesis; 5. Phenylalanine, tyrosine and tryptophan biosynthesis; 6. Phenylalanine metabolism; 7. Linoleic acid metabolism; 8. Glycolysis / Gluconeogenesis; 9. Glycine, serine and threonine metabolism; 10. Glutathione metabolism; 11. Glucosinolate biosynthesis; 12. Fructose and mannose metabolism; 13. Flavonoid biosynthesis; 14. Cysteine and methionine metabolism; 15. Carbon metabolism; 16. Carbon fixation in photosynthetic organisms; 17. Biosynthesis of secondary metabolites; 18. Biosynthesis of amino acids; 19. Amino sugar and nucleotide sugar metabolism; 20. alpha-Linolenic acid metabolism.

> 图 4 差异基因 KEGG 富集图 Fig. 4 KEGG enrichment diagram of differentially expressed genes

上调,并进一步调控相关生物合成基因的表达;钙依赖蛋白激酶(CDPK)在9d时被激活,并编码了1条下调和3条显著上调的基因(LOC102607727、XM_006466146.3、LOC102626879),可推断其通过调节下游蛋白来降低柑橘锈螨对沃柑的危害程度。

2.6.3 类黄酮合成途径差异基因分析 通过对类黄酮合成途径中的DEGs进一步分析,莽草酸-羟基肉桂酰基转移酶(HCT)编码的1条基因(XM_006464582.3)在柑橘锈螨危害3、5、7、9d时均显著上调;咖啡酰辅酶A在5d被激活并编码了1条下调

1575

和1条显著上调的基因,7d和9d分别编码了3、2 条显著上调的基因,其中基因(XM_006480524.3、 XM_006466523.2)为两个时段共同的显著上调基因。

2.6.4 苯丙氨酸代谢途径差异基因分析 通过对苯 丙氨酸代谢途径中的DEGs进一步分析,苯丙氨酸 脱氨酶(PAL)在柑橘锈螨危害3、5、7、9d时均编码 了相同的4条显著上调基因(XM_006481431.3、XM_ 006485585.3、XM_006481430.3、XM_006488000.3), 4-香豆蔻-辅酶A(4CL)编码的基因除3d和5d各有 1条下调外,其余基因均上调,其中基因(XM_ 006494749.3)为4个时段共同的显著上调基因。

2.6.5 戊糖磷酸途径差异基因分析 通过对戊糖磷 酸途径中的DEGs进一步分析,6-磷酸葡萄糖(6PG-DH)在柑橘锈螨危害5、7、9d时均编码了1条显著 上调基因(XM_006482280.3),推测戊糖磷酸途径被 激活并参与了沃柑对柑橘锈螨的胁迫响应。

3 讨论

植物激素作为一种低分子化合物,不仅能对植 物正常的生长起到调控作用,还可以影响植物的抗 病虫害能力^[39-40]。植物受到虫害时,茉莉酸Zim结构 域蛋白(JAZ)可以与SCFCOI1复合体结合,激活茉 莉酸信号转导和调控生物分子合成途径,提高植物 的抗虫性[41]。水杨酸通过参与植物的系统获得性抗 性(SAR),提高植物对螨害和植食性昆虫的防御能 力,而水杨酸调节因子(NPR1)在SAR中起到核心 调控的作用,是重要的调控因子[42-43]。本研究发现沃 柑受到柑橘锈螨的危害后, JAZ 编码的基因 XM 006474637.3 XM 006465821.2 XM 006474477.3 XM 006474477.3 XM 006465820.2 在 3、5、7、9 d 时 均显 著上调; NPR1在危害后期被激活,其中7d和9d编码的共 同基因 XR 371294.3 显著上调,进而影响激素分子 的生物合成,由此推测茉莉酸、水杨酸的信号转导 通路被激活并参与了沃柑对柑橘锈螨的胁迫响 应。

植物在与害虫的相互作用中,形成了一系列与 生物合成相关的防御机制。活性氧可以作为信号分 子,诱导植物抗性基因的表达,而氧化酶同源酶 (RBOH)则是作为必要的催化酶催化活性氧的产 生^[44]。植物受到外源胁迫会激活钙依赖蛋白激酶 (CDPK)引起下游靶标蛋白磷酸化,从而降低胁迫 伤害,提高自身抗性能力^[45]。本研究发现沃柑受到 柑橘锈螨的危害后,RBOH编码的基因XM_ 006472017.3、XM_006484987.3在3、5、7、9d时均显 著上调;CDPK在危害后期被激活,9d编码了1条下 调和3条显著上调的基因LOC102607727、XM_ 006466146.3、LOC102626879,通过调节下游蛋白来 降低柑橘锈螨对沃柑的危害,推测柑橘锈螨胁迫激 活了植物病原互作通路,响应基因可以作为抗螨候 选基因。

次生代谢物质通过直接或间接的方式参与植物 抗虫性物质的合成,影响害虫的发育和繁殖。莽草 酸-羟基肉桂酰基转移酶(HCT)可以催化莽草酸与 咖啡酰辅酶A生成绿原酸的反应,而绿原酸会引起 幼虫食欲减弱、发育迟缓,在受到螨类危害时,茶树 的绿原酸合成反应会变得活跃[46]。植物体内类黄酮 化合物具有很好的杀螨功效,其效果随含量的提高 而提高[47]。苯丙氨酸脱氨酶(PAL)和4-香豆蔻-辅 酶A(4CL)是类黄酮合成途径的必需酶,植物受到 外源胁迫后,该类酶基因的表达量会发生上调[48]。 同时,苯丙氨酸脱氨酶(PAL)也会参与用于加固植 物细胞壁的次生代谢物质合成途径,其基因的表达 强度也可以作为植物的抗虫性指标[49]。本研究发现 沃柑受到柑橘锈螨的危害后,HCT编码的基因XM 006464582.3 在 3、5、7、9 d 时均显著上调;咖啡酰辅 酶 A 在 7 d 和 9 d 编码的基因 XM 006480524.3、 XM 006466523.2 为两个时段共同的显著上调基 因。 PAL 编码的基因 XM 006481431.3、XM 006485585.3 XM 006481430.3 XM 006488000.3 在3、5、7、9d时均显著上调;4CL编码的基因除3d 和5d各有1条下调外,其余基因均上调,其中基因 XM 006494749.3为4个时段共同的显著上调基因, 推测沃柑通过合成具有抗螨性作用的次生代谢物质 参与防御柑橘锈螨的过程中。

戊糖磷酸途径(PPP)参与植物体内的葡萄糖合成,同时在植物体的正常生长发育和环境胁迫中起到重要的作用^[50]。Sindelar等^[51]研究发现烟草组织受到马铃薯Y病毒侵染后,6-磷酸葡萄糖(6PGDH)的活性显著增强,参与烟草组织的抗病毒反应机制。本研究发现沃柑受到柑橘锈螨的危害后,6PG-DH编码的基因XM_006482280.3在3、5、7、9 d时均显著上调,推测戊糖磷酸途径被激活并参与了沃柑对柑橘锈螨的胁迫响应。

4 结 论

笔者在本研究中采用高通量转录组测序技术对 柑橘锈螨危害后的沃柑果皮进行测序和分析,筛选 得到不同危害时段参与植物激素信号转导、植物病 原互作通路、类黄酮生物合成、苯丙氨酸代谢、戊糖 磷酸代谢等途径的多个差异表达基因,为深入研究 沃柑响应柑橘锈螨危害的分子机制提供了丰富的基 因资源,为柑橘类种质资源在螨害条件下的基因调 控网络研究提供了一定的理论依据。

参考文献 References:

- 李隆术,黄方能,陈杰林. 柑桔锈螨实验种群的生态特性[J]. 昆虫学报,1989,32(2):184-191.
 LI Longshu, HUANG Fangneng, CHEN Jielin. Ecological characteristics of citrus rust mite experimental population[J]. Acta Entomologica Sinica, 1989,32(2):184-191.
- [2] 阮龙.广东柑桔锈壁虱的发生与防治[J].中国南方果树, 1999,19(3):21-22.
 RUAN Long. Occurrence and control of citrus rust ticks in
- Guangdong province[J]. South China Fruits, 1999(3):21-22. (3) 金方伦. 柑桔锈螨防治技术[J]. 中国南方果树, 1999, 20(3):22.
- JIN Fanglun. Control technology of citrus rust mite[J]. South China Fruits, 1999,20(3): 22.
- [4] ALLEN J C. The effect of citrus rust mite damage on citrus fruit drop[J]. Journal of Economic Entomology, 1978, 71: 746-750.
- [5] MCCOY C W. Leaf injury and defoliation caused by the citrus rust mite, *Phyllocoptruta Oleivora* [J]. Florida Entomologist, 1976:59(4): 403-410.
- [6] REYNA S M, EKESI S, SETAMOU M. Morphometric comparisons of citrus rust mite, *Phyllocoptruta oleivora* (Ashmead), populations in Texas and Kenya[J]. Southwestern Entomologist, 2019, 44: 607-616.
- [7] 曹涤环.柑橘黑皮果的防治[J].湖南农业,2017(4):17.
 CAO Dihuan. Control of citrus black fruit[J]. Hunan Agricultural,2017(4):17.
- [8] 向杰,尹鑫,谷勇,李维群,李求文.恩施市南丰蜜桔主要病虫 害综合防治技术[J].湖北植保,2018(5):33-34.
 XIANG Jie, YIN Xin, GU Yong, LI Weiqun, LI Qiuwen. Comprehensive control technology of main diseases and insect pests in Enshi City [J]. Hubei Plant Protection,2018(5): 33-34.
- [9] 吕化贵,曾斌龙,鲍天才,黄昌新.广丰马家柚锈壁虱的危害 特性及其防治时机选择[J].现代园艺,2019(3):159.
 LU Huagui, ZENG Binlong, BAO Tiancai, HUANG Changxin.
 Hazard characteristics and timing of pomelo rust lice [J]. Xiandai Horticulture,2019(3): 159.
- [10] MCCOY C W, ALBRIGO L G. Feeding injury to the orange caused by the citrus rust mite, *Phyllocoptruta oleivora* (Prostigmata: Eriophyoidea)[J]. Annals of the Entomological Society of America, 1975, 68: 289-297.
- [11] ALLEN J C. A model for predicting citrus rust mite damage on Valencia orange fruit[J]. Environmental Entomology, 1976, 5 (6): 1083-1088.
- [12] MCCOY C W, DAVIS P L, MUNROE K A. Effect of late season fruit injury by the citrus rust mite, *Phyllocoptruta oleivora* (Prostigmata: Eriophyidea), on the internal quality of Valencia orange[J]. Florida Entomologist, 1976, 59: 335-341.
- [13] 李林财. 柑桔锈壁虱的发生与防治[J]. 福建农业,2013(1):24. LI Lincai. Occurrence and prevention of citrus rust tick[J]. Fu-Jian Agriculture,2013(1):24.

 [14] 方继浩.潮汕柑桔锈壁虱田间消长规律及防治经验[J].植物 保护,1966(2):80.
 FANG Jihao. The rule of citrus rust ticks in chaoshan and its con-

trol experience[J]. Plant Protection, 1966(2): 80. [15] 刘要鑫,陈东奎,李果果,仇惠君,欧智涛,陈香玲,黄其椿,赵

洪涛.不同砧木对沃柑树体及果实品质的影响[J]. 南方农业 学报,2019,50(2):338-343. LIU Yaoxin, CHEN Dongkui, LI Guoguo, CHOU Huijun, OU

Zhitao, CHEN Xiangling, HUANG Qichun, ZHAO Hongtao. Effect of different rootstocks on 'Orah's tree body and fruit quality[J]. Journal of Southern Agriculture, 2019, 50(2): 338-343.

- [16] 黄其椿,陈香玲,陈东奎,赵洪涛,赵小龙,纪素峰,廖惠红,王茜, 黄宏明,张兰,刘吉敏. 沃柑黄化原因分析及其对策(英文)[J]. Agricultural Science & Technology,2016,17(6):1387-1390.
 HUANG Qichun, CHEN Xiangling, CHEN Dongkui, ZHAO Hongtao, ZHAO Xiaolong, JI Sufeng, LIAO Huihong, WANG Qian, HUANG Hongming, ZHANG Lan, LIU Jimin. Cause analysis of Orah yellowing and its measures[J]. Agricultural Science & Technology,2016,17(6):1387-1390.
- [17] 黄其椿,刘吉敏,何新华,黄克,冉志林,吴荣伦,罗捷,李初英.晚熟杂柑'沃柑'在广西武鸣的栽培表现初报[J].中国南方果树,2014,43(3):86-88.
 HUANG Qichun, LIU Jimin, HE Xinhua, HUANG Ke, RAN Zhilin, WU Ronglun, LUO Jie, LI Chuying. A preliminary report on the cultivation performance of late ripening mixed orange 'Orah' in Wuming, Guangxi[J]. South China Fruits, 2014, 43(3):86-88.
- [18] 马锞,赖旭辉,晏承泉,胡锐清,罗诗.晚熟优质杂柑品种'沃柑' 在东莞的引种表现[J].中国南方果树,2018,47(4):140-141.
 MA Ke,LAI Xuhui, YAN Chengquan, HU Ruiqing, LUO Shi. The introduction of late ripening quality hybrid 'Orah' in Dongguan[J]. South China Fruits,2018,47(4):140-141.
- [19] 江东,曹立.晚熟高糖杂柑品种'沃柑'在重庆的引种表现[J]. 中国南方果树,2011,40(5):33-34.
 JIANG Dong, CAO Li. The introduction of Late ripening highsugar hybrid 'Orah' in Chongqing[J]. South China Fruits,2011, 40(5):33-34.
- [20] 汤狄华,黄桂香,周彩霞,苏美玲,周之珞,李添文,梁杰明.网 棚栽培对沃柑物候期和果实发育的影响[J]. 江苏农业科学, 2019,47(8):168-171.
 TANG Dihua, HUANG Guixiang, ZHOU Caixia, SU Meiling, ZHOU Zhiluo, LI Tianwen, LIANG Jieming. Effects of net shed cultivation on phenological period and fruit development of citrus[J]. Jiangsu Agricultural Sciences, 2019,47(8):168-171.
- [21] 胡艺帆,黄桂香,潘贞珍,葛聪聪,黄运鹏,李峰,何新华.留夏 梢对南宁沃柑果实日灼发生及品质的影响[J].中国南方果树, 2020,49(4):9-12.

HU Yifan, HUANG Guixiang, PAN Zhenzhen, GE Congcong, HUANG Yunpeng, LI Feng, HE Xinhua. Effect of summer shoots on sunburn and fruit quality of Orah in Nanning of Guangxi[J]. South China Fruits, 2020, 49(4): 9-12.

[22] 田玉珍,党兆霞,吕前前,毛娟,褚明宇,马宗桓,左存武,陈佰 鸿.树体休眠期前苹果花芽对低温早期响应的转录组分析[J]. 果树学报,2020,37(5):615-624.
TIAN Yuzhen, DANG Zhaoxia,LÜ Qianqian, MAO Juan, ZHU Mingyu, MA Zonghuan, ZUO Cunwu, CHEN Baihong. Transcriptomic analysis of early responses of apple flower buds to low temperature before tree dormancy[J]. Journal of Fruit Science,2020,37(5):615-624.

- [23] MOROZOVA O, MARRA M A. Applications of next-generation sequencing technologies in functional genomics[J]. Genomics, 2008,92(5): 255-264.
- [24] 张纯,唐承晨,王吉永,郭龙妹,王莉莉,黎万奎.转录组学在 植物应答逆境胁迫中的研究进展[J].生物学杂志,2017,34
 (2):86-90.

ZHANG Chun, TANG Chengchen, WANG Jiyong, GUO Longmei, WANG Lili, LI Wankui. Advances on transcriptome of plants under stresses[J]. Journal of Biology, 2017, 34(2):86-90.

- [25] ARTICO S, RIBEIRO- ALVES M, OLIVEIRA- NETO O B, MACEDO L L P, SILVEIRA S, GROSSI-DE-SA M F, MARTI-NELLI A P, ALVES-FERREIRA M. Transcriptome analysis of Gossypium hirsutum flower buds infested by cotton boll weevil (Anthonomus grandis) larvae[J]. BMC Genomics, 2014, 15: 854.
- [26] 张海静,严盈,彭露,郭建洋,万方浩. 韧皮部取食昆虫诱导的 植物防御反应[J]. 昆虫学报,2012,55(6):736-748.
 ZHANG Haijing, YAN Ying, PENG Lu, GUO Jianyang, WAN Fanghao. Plant defense responses induced by phloem-feeding insects[J]. Acta Entomologica Sinica,2012,55(6):736-748.
- [27] AN C,MOU Z. Salicylic acid and its function in plant immunity[J]. Journal of Integrative Plant Biology, 2011, 53 (6): 412-428.
- [28] ATKINSON N J, URWIN P E. The interaction of plant biotic and abiotic stresses: from genes to the field[J]. Journal of Experimental Botany, 2012, 63 (10): 3523-3543.
- [29] KUSNIERCZYK A, TRAN D H T, WINGE P, JØRSTAD T S, REESE J C, TROCZYŃSKA J, BONES A M. Testing the importance of jasmonate signalling in induction of plant defences upon cabbage aphid (*Brevicoryne brassicae*) attack[J]. BMC Genomics, 2011, 12: 423.
- [30] FUJITA M, FUJITA Y, NOUTOSH Y, TAKAHASHI F, NARU-SAKA Y, YAMAGUCHI- SHINOZAKI K, SHINOZAKI K. Crosstalk between abiotic and biotic stress responses: a current view from the points of convergence in the stress signaling networks[J]. Current Opinion in Plant Biology, 2006, 9 (4): 436-442.
- [31] CHEN W, PROVARTN J, GLAZEBROOK J. Expression profile matrix of *Arabidopsis* transcription factor genes suggests their putative functions in response to environmental stresses[J]. The Plant Cell, 2002, 14(3): 559-574.
- [32] RUSHTON D L, TRIPATHI P, RABARA R C. WRKY transcription factors: key components in abscisic acid signalling[J]. Plant Biotechnology Journal, 2012, 10(1): 2-11.
- [33] 邢雪霞.烟草对南方根结线虫抗性机理研究[D].郑州:河南农业大学,2018.
 XING Xuexia. Research on the mechanism of tobacco resistance to *Meloidogyne incognita* invasion[D]. Zhengzhou: Henan Agricultural University,2018.
- [34] DUBEY N K, GOEl R, RANJAN A. Comparative transcriptome analysis of *Gossypium hirsutum* L. in response to sap sucking insects:aphid and whitefly[J]. BMC Genomics, 2013, 14: 241.
- [35] 李铂,彭亮,王楠,孙晓春,何懿菡,宋忠兴,张永强,唐志书,黄 文静.聚乙二醇模拟干旱胁迫下半夏茎段转录组分析[J].中 草药,2020,51(21):5579-5589.
 LI Bo, PENG Liang, WANG Nan, SUN Xiaochun, HE Yihan, SONG Zhongxing, ZHANG Yongqiang, TANG Zhishu, HUANG Wenjing. Transcriptome analysis of stems of pinellia ternata under drought stress simulated by PEG[J]. Chinese Traditional and Herbal Drugs,2020,51(21): 5579-5589.
- [36] MOROZOVA O, MARRA M A. Applications of next-generation sequencing technologies in functional genomics[J]. Genomics, 2008,92(5): 255-264.
- [37] 张纯,唐承晨,王吉永,郭龙妹,王莉莉,黎万奎. 转录组学在 植物应答逆境胁迫中的研究进展[J]. 生物学杂志,2017,34 (2):86-90.

ZHANG Chun, TANG Chengchen, WANG Jiyong, GUO Longmei, WANG Lili, LI Wankui. Advances on transcriptome of plants under stresses[J]. Journal of Biology, 2017, 34(2): 86-90.

[38] ERB M, REYMOND P. Molecular interactions between plants and insect herbivores[J]. Annual Review Plant Biology, 2019, 70 (1): 527-557.

- [39] BUI H, GREENHALGH R, RUCKERT A, GILL G S, LEE S, RAMIREZ R A, CLARK R M. Generalist and specialist mite herbivores induce similar defense responses in maize and barley but differ in susceptibility to benzoxazinoids[J]. Frontiers in Plant Science, 2018, 9: 1222.
- [40] DERKSEN H, RAMPITSCH C, DAAYF F. Signaling cross-talk in plant disease resistance[J]. Plant Science, 2013, 207: 79-87.
- [41] CHICO J M, CHINI A, FONSECA S, SOLANO R. JAZ repressors set the rhythm in jasmonate signaling[J]. Current Opinion in Plant Biology, 2008, 11(5): 486-494.
- [42] 刘琳琳,甄军波,刘迪,欧阳艳飞,迟吉娜.NPR1 调控植物抗病机制及功能研究进展[J].中国棉花,2020,47(7):1-6. LIU Linlin, ZHEN Junbo, LIU Di, OUYANG Yanfei, CHI Jina. Advances of the mechanism and function of disease desistance regulated by NPR1 in plants[J]. China Cotton,,2020,47(7):1-6.
- [43] SPOEL S H, KOORNNEEF A, CLAESSENS S M. NPR1 modulates cross-talk between salicylate-and jasmonate-dependent defense pathways through a novel function in the cytosol[J]. The Plant Cell, 2003, 15(3): 760-770.
- [44] 吴庆丽,谭晓荣.活性氧在农作物抗病中的作用[J].河南工业 大学学报(自然科学版),2008,29(3):81-86.
 WU Qingli, TAN Xiaorong. Role of reactive oxygen species in crop disease resistance[J]. Journal of Henan University of Technology(Natural Science Edition),2008,29(3):81-86.
- [45] 王月,侯和胜.植物环核苷酸门控通道(CNGC)基因家族的结构与功能[J].植物生理学通讯,2007(1):1-8.
 WANG Yue, HOU Hesheng. Structure and function of plant cyclic nucleotide- gated channel (CNGC) gene family[J]. Plant Physiology Journal,2007(1):1-8.
- [46] 魏丽.茶树中奎尼酸/莽草酸-羟肉桂酰基转移酶基因的克隆 及功能研究[J].河南农业科学,2016,45(10):35-39.
 WEI Li. Cloning and function analysis of hydroxycinnamoyl-CoA quinate/shikimate hydroxycinnamoyl transferase gene in *Camellia sinensis*[J]. Journal of Henan Agricultural Sciences, 2016,45(10):35-39.
- [47] AGUT B, GAMIR J, JAQUES J A, FLORS V. Tetranychus urticae-triggered responses promote genotype-dependent conspecific repellence or attractiveness in citrus[J]. The New Phytologist, 2015,207(3):790-804.
- [48] 李娜,于希森,李琪,李涵哲,李洋,徐婷婷,孟凡立.大豆异黄酮合成关键酶基因对蚜虫取食的防御响应分析[J].大豆科学,2016,35(5):800-804.
 LI Na, YU Xiseng, LI Qi, LI Hanzhe, LI Yang, XU Tingting, MENG Fanli. The analysis of key gene of soybean isoflavone synthesis response to aphid feeding defense[J]. Soybean Science,2016,35(5):800-804.
- [49] 王小珊,杨成霖,王森山,胡桂馨.牛角花齿蓟马危害后苜蓿
 叶酚类物质和木质素含量的变化[J].应用生态学报,2014,25
 (6):1688-1692.
 WANG Xiaoshan, YANG Chenglin, WANG Senshan, HU Gui-

xin. Changes of phenols and lignin contents in alfalfa leaf damaged by *Odontothrips loti*[J]. Chinese Journal of Applied Ecology,2014,25(6):1688-1692.

- [50] 黄骥,王建飞,张红生.植物戊糖磷酸途径及其两个关键酶的研究进展[J].植物学通报,2004,21(2):139-145. HUANG Ji, WANG Jianfei, ZHANG Hongsheng. Advances on plant pentose phosphate pathway and its key enzymes[J]. Chinese Bulletin of Botany,2004,21(2):139-145.
- [51] SINDELAR L, SINDELAROVA M, BURKETOVA L. Changes in activity of glucose-6-phosphate and 6-phosphogluconate dehydrogenase isozymes upon potato virus Y infection in tobacco leaf tissues and protoplasts[J]. Plant Physiology and Biochemistry, 1999, 37(3): 195-201.